arXiv:math/9805109v1 [math.DG] 23 May 1998

ON THE THEORY OF ALMOST GRASSMANN STRUCTURES

M.A. Akivis and V.V. Goldberg

Abstract. The differential geometry of almost Grassmann structures defined on a differentiable manifold of dimension $n = pq$ by a fibration of Segre cones $SC(p, q)$ is studied. The peculiarities in the structure of almost Grassmann structures for the cases $p = q = 2$; $p = 2, q > 2$ (or $p > 2, q = 2$), and $p > 2, q > 2$ are clarified. The fundamental geometric objects of these structures up to fourth order are derived. The conditions under which an almost Grassmann structure is locally flat or locally semiflat are found for all cases indicated above.

0 Introduction

Almost Grassmann manifolds were introduced by Hangan [20] as a generalization of the Grassmannian $G(m, n)$. Hangan ([20], [21]) and Ishihara [23] studied mostly some special almost Grassmann manifolds, especially locally Grassmann manifolds. Later almost Grassmann manifolds were studied by Goldberg [17], Mikhailov [25] and Akivis [3] in connection with the development of the theory of multidimensional webs. Goncharov [19] considered the almost Grassmann manifolds as generalized conformal structures.

Baston in [10] constructed a theory of a general class of structures, called almost Hermitian symmetric (AHS) structures, which include conformal, projective, almost Grassmann, and quaternionic structures and for which the construction of the Cartan normal connection is possible. He constructed a tensor invariant for them and proved that its vanishing is equivalent to the structure being locally that of a Hermitian symmetric space. In [19], the AHS structures have been studied from the point of view of cone structures (see [10] and [19] for further references on generalized conformal structures).

Bailey and Eastwood [9] extended the theory of local twistors, which was known for four-dimensional conformal structures, to the almost Grassmann structures (they called them the paraconformal structures). Dhooghe ([12], [13]) considered almost Grassmann structures (he called them Grassmannian structures) as subbundles of the second order frame bundle and constructed a canonical normal connection for these structures. The structure equations derived for the Grassmann structures in [13] are very close to the structure equations of the Grassmann structures considered in the present paper.

In the current paper we consider the real theory of almost Grassmann structures while in [19], [10], and [9] their complex theory was studied.

Although some of the authors who studied almost Grassmann structures proved that an almost Grassmann structure is a G-structure of finite type two (see [22] and [25]), no one of the authors went further than the development of the first structure tensor.

In Section 1 of the current paper we consider the Grassmann structures. In Section 2 we define the almost Grassmann structures geometrically following [2] and [3] (see also [25], [7], §8.3, and [18], §§2.1 and 2.2).

Sections 3 and 4 are the core of the paper. In these sections we make the most symmetric and natural specializations of second-, third-, and fourth-order moving frames, derive the structure equations and construct (in a fourth-order differential neighborhood) a complete geometric object of the almost Grassmann structure totally defining its geometric structure, find the expression of the components of the torsion tensor of an almost Grassmann structure in the general (not reduced) third-order frame, prove that an almost Grassmann structure is a G-structure of finite type two (Theorem 4.1), establish relations between the components of the complete geometric object (Theorems 4.2 and 4.3) and use these connections to determine under what conditions an almost Grassmann structure is locally Grassmann (Theorem 4.4).

In Section 4 we also find the structure group of the almost Grassmann structure these structures and its differential prolongation. The structure group of the almost Grassmann structure is represented in the form $\mathbf{SL}(p) \times \mathbf{SL}(q) \times \mathbf{H}$, where $\mathbf{SL}(p), \mathbf{SL}(q)$ are the special linear groups of order p and q; respectively, and $\mathbf{H}$ is the group of homotheties. The prolonged group G' is isomorphic to the semidirect product $G \ltimes \mathbf{T}(pq)$, where $\mathbf{T}(pq)$ is the group of parallel translations of (pq)-dimensional affine space.

The almost Grassmann structure defines on the manifold M two fiber bundles E_α and E_β that are real twistor fiber bundles. In a more general setting they were considered in [BE 91]. For the general almost Grassmann structure, the first nonvanishing structure tensor splits into two subtensors, which are the structure tensors of fiber bundles E_α and E_β. The vanishing of each of these subtensors leads to integrability of the corresponding twistor fiber bundle. In Section 5 we give without proof the statements of our main theorems on semiintegrability of almost Grassmann structures. Their complete proofs are given in our book [AG 93].

Note also the main differences between our paper and the papers [12] and [13]:

1. We consider a projective model of a Grassmann manifold while in the papers [12] and [13] (and in most of other papers on this subject) a vector model was considered. This is the reason that in our presentation both the dimension n of the original projective space and the dimension m of the generating element of the Grassmann manifold $G(m, n)$ are one unit less than in those papers. The dimension of the Grassmann manifold $G(m, n)$ and the dimension of the manifold M endowed with an almost Grassmann structure are equal to pq where $p = m + 1$ and $q = n - m$.

2. In this paper an almost Grassmann structure on a manifold M is defined geometrically by means of a fibration of Segre cones $SC(p, q)$ while in [12] and [13] it is defined analytically by means of structure equations.

3. We clearly distinguish three cases:

   a) $p = 2, q = 2$ $(m = 1, n = 3), \dim M = 4$. In this case the almost Grassmann structure $AG(1, 3)$ is equivalent to the pseudoconformal structure $CO(2, 2)$ that is torsion-free and whose conformal curvature is determined by its Weyl tensor defined in a third-order differential neighborhood.

   b) $p = 2, q > 2$ (or $p > 2, q = 2$). In this case the torsion tensor of the fiber bundle E_α (respectively, E_β) vanishes, and the difference between this structure and a locally flat structure is determined by the curvature tensor of the fiber bundle E_α and the torsion tensor of E_β (respectively, E_β and E_α).

   c) $p > 2, q > 2$. In this case the difference between this structure and a locally flat structure is completely determined by the torsion tensors of the fiber bundles E_α E_β.

4. We construct the fundamental geometric objects of these structures up to fourth order for each of these three cases and establish connections among them.

5. In the main parts of [12] and [13] the author considered torsion-free almost Grassmann structures. He did not have results of our Theorems **4.2, 4.3** and **4.4** and erroneously assumed that for $p > 2, q > 2$ there exist torsion-free almost Grassmann structures that are not locally Grassmann (locally flat) structures. According to our Theorem **4.4**,

if $p > 2$ and $q > 2$, then torsion-free almost Grassmann structures are locally Grassmann structures. This is the reason that the results of the main parts of [12] and [13] valid only for $p = 2, q > 2; p > 2, q = 2$, and $p = q = 2$.

1 Grassmann Structures

1. Let P^n be an n-dimensional projective space. The set of m-dimensional subspaces $P^m \subset P^n$ is called the *Grassmann manifold*, or the *Grassmannian*, and is denoted by the symbol $G(m, n)$. It is well-known that the Grassmannian is a differentiable manifold, and that its dimension is equal to $\rho = (m + 1)(n - m)$. It will be convenient for us to set $p = m + 1$ and $q = n - m$. Then we have $n = p + q - 1$.

Let a subspace $P^m = x$ be an element of the Grassmannian $G(m, n)$. With any subspace x, we associate a family of projective point frames $\{A_u\}, u = 0, 1, \ldots n$, such that the vertices $A_\alpha, \alpha = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, of its frames lie in the subspace P^m, and the points $A_i, i = m + 1, \ldots, n$, lie outside P^m and together with the points A_α make up the frame $\{A_u\}$ of the space P^n.

We will write the equations of infinitesimal displacement of the moving frames we have chosen in the form:

$$dA_u = \theta_u^v A_v, \quad u, v = 0, \ldots, n. \tag{1.1}$$

Since the fundamental group of the space P^n is locally isomorphic to the group $\mathbf{SL}(n + 1)$, the forms θ_u^v are connected by the relation

$$\theta_u^u = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

The structure equations of the space P^n have the form

$$d\theta_u^v = \theta_u^w \wedge \theta_w^v. \tag{1.3}$$

By (1.3), the exterior differential of the left-hand side of equations (1.1) is identically equal to 0, and hence the system of equations (1.1) as well as equation (1.2) is completely integrable.

By (1.1), we have

$$dA_\alpha = \theta_\alpha^\beta A_\beta + \theta_\alpha^i A_i.$$

It follows that the 1-forms θ_α^i are basis forms of the Grassmannian. These forms are linearly independent, and their number is equal to $\rho = (m+1)(n-$

$m) = p \cdot q$, i.e., it equals the dimension of the Grassmannian $G(m, n)$. We will assume that the integers p and q satisfy the inequalities $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$, since for $p = 1$, we have $m = 0$, and the Grassmannian $G(0, n)$ is the projective space P^n, and for $q = 1$, we have $m = n - 1$, and the Grassmannian $G(n-1, n)$ is isomorphic to the dual projective space $(P^n)^*$.

Let us rename the basis forms by setting $\theta^i_\alpha = \omega^i_\alpha$ and finding their exterior differentials:

$$d\omega^i_\alpha = \theta^\beta_\alpha \wedge \omega^i_\beta + \omega^j_\alpha \wedge \theta^i_j. \tag{1.4}$$

Define the trace-free forms

$$\omega^\beta_\alpha = \theta^\beta_\alpha - \frac{1}{p}\delta^\beta_\alpha \theta^\gamma_\gamma, \;\; \omega^i_j = \theta^i_j - \frac{1}{q}\delta^i_j \theta^k_k, \tag{1.5}$$

satisfying the conditions:

$$\omega^\alpha_\alpha = 0, \quad \omega^i_i = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Eliminating the forms θ^β_α and θ^j_i from equations (1.4), we find that

$$d\omega^i_\alpha = \omega^\beta_\alpha \wedge \omega^i_\beta + \omega^j_\alpha \wedge \omega^i_j + \omega \wedge \omega^i_\alpha, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\omega = \dfrac{1}{p}\theta^\gamma_\gamma - \dfrac{1}{q}\theta^k_k$, or by (1.2),

$$\omega = \Big(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\Big)\theta^\gamma_\gamma. \tag{1.8}$$

Setting

$$\omega^\alpha_i = -\Big(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\Big)\theta^\alpha_i \tag{1.9}$$

and taking the exterior derivatives of equations (1.7) and (1.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^\beta_\alpha &= \omega^\gamma_\alpha \wedge \omega^\beta_\gamma + \frac{q}{p+q}\omega^k_\gamma \wedge (\delta^\beta_\alpha \omega^\gamma_k - p\delta^\gamma_\alpha \omega^\beta_k), \\ d\omega^i_j &= \omega^k_j \wedge \omega^i_k + \frac{p}{p+q}(\delta^i_j \omega^\gamma_k - q\delta^i_k \omega^\gamma_j) \wedge \omega^k_\gamma \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$d\omega = \omega^\alpha_i \wedge \omega^i_\alpha. \tag{1.11}$$

Exterior differentiation of equations (1.9) gives

$$d\omega^\alpha_i = \omega^j_i \wedge \omega^\alpha_j + \omega^\beta_i \wedge \omega^\alpha_\beta + \omega^\alpha_i \wedge \omega. \tag{1.12}$$

Finally, exterior differentiation of equations (1.12) leads to identities.

Thus, the structure equations of the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$ take the form (1.7), (1.10), (1.11) and (1.12). This system of differential equations is *closed* in the sense that its further exterior differentiation leads to identities.

If we fix a subspace $x = P^m \subset P^n$, then we obtain $\omega_\alpha^i = 0$, and equations (1.10) and (1.11) become

$$d\pi_\alpha^\beta = \pi_\alpha^\gamma \wedge \pi_\gamma^\beta, \;\; d\pi_j^i = \pi_j^k \wedge \pi_k^i, \;\; d\pi = 0, \tag{1.13}$$

where $\pi = \omega(\delta), \pi_\alpha^\beta = \omega_\alpha^\beta(\delta), \pi_j^i = \omega_j^i(\delta)$, and δ is the operator of differentiation with respect to the fiber parameters of the second-order frame bundle associated with the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$. Moreover, the forms π_α^β and π_j^i satisfy equations similar to equations (1.6), that is, these forms are trace-free. The forms π_α^β are invariant forms of the group $\mathbf{SL}(p)$ which is locally isomorphic to the group of projective transformations of the subspace P^m. The forms π_j^i are invariant forms of the group $\mathbf{SL}(q)$ which is locally isomorphic to the group of projective transformations of the bundle of $(m+1)$-dimensional subspaces of the space P^n containing P^m. The form π is an invariant form of the group $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{R}^* \otimes \mathrm{Id}$ of homotheties of the space P^n with center at P^m; here $\mathbf{R}^*$ is the multiplicative group of real numbers.

The direct product of these three groups is the structural group G of the Grassmann manifold $G(m,n)$:

$$G = \mathbf{SL}(p) \times \mathbf{SL}(q) \times \mathbf{H}. \tag{1.14}$$

Finally, the forms $\pi_i^\alpha = \omega_i^\alpha(\delta)$, which by (1.12) satisfy the structure equations

$$d\pi_i^\alpha = \pi_i^j \wedge \pi_j^\alpha + \pi_i^\beta \wedge \pi_\beta^\alpha + \pi_i^\alpha \wedge \pi, \tag{1.15}$$

are also fiber forms on the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$ but unlike the forms π_α^β, π_i^j and π, they are connected with the third-order frame bundle of the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$.

The forms π_α^β, π_j^i, π and π_i^α, satisfying the structure equations (1.13) and (1.15), are invariant forms of the group

$$G' = G \ltimes \mathbf{T}(pq) \tag{1.16}$$

arising under the differential prolongation of the structure group G of the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$. The group G' is the group of motions of an $(n-m-1)$-quasiaffine space A_{n-m-1}^n (see [14]) which is a projective space P^n with a fixed m-dimensional subspace $P^m = A_0 \wedge A_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge A_m$ and the generating element $P^{n-m-1} = A_{m+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge A_n$. The dimension of the space A^n_{n-m-1} coincides with the dimension of the Grassmannian $G(n-m-1, n)$, and this dimension is the same as the dimension of the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$: $\rho = (m+1)(n-m)$. The forms π^α_i are invariant forms of the group $\mathbf{T}(pq)$ of parallel translations of the space A^n_{n-m-1}, and the group G is the stationary subgroup of its element P^{n-m-1}.

In the index-free notation, the structure equations (1.7) and (1.10)–(1.12) of the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\theta = \omega \wedge \theta - \theta \wedge \omega_\alpha - \omega_\beta \wedge \theta, \\
&d\omega_\alpha + \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha = \frac{q}{p+q}\Big[-I_\alpha \mathrm{tr}\,(\varphi \wedge \theta) + p\varphi \wedge \theta\Big], \\
&d\omega_\beta + \omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\beta = \frac{p}{p+q}\Big[-I_\beta \mathrm{tr}\,(\varphi \wedge \theta) + q\theta \wedge \varphi\Big], \\
&d\omega = \mathrm{tr}\,(\varphi \wedge \theta), \\
&d\varphi + \theta_\alpha \wedge \varphi + \varphi \wedge \theta_\beta + \omega \wedge \varphi = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

where $\theta = (\omega^i_\alpha)$ is the matrix 1-forms defined in the first-order fiber bundle; $\omega_\alpha = (\omega^\alpha_\beta)$ and $\omega_\beta = (\omega^i_j)$ are the matrix 1-forms defined in a second-order fiber bundle for which

$$\mathrm{tr}\ \omega_\alpha = 0, \quad \mathrm{tr}\ \omega_\beta = 0;$$

the form ω is the scalar form occurring in equations (1.7), (1.11), and (1.12) and also defined in a second-order frame bundle; $\varphi = (\omega^\alpha_i)$ is a matrix 1-form defined in a third-order fiber bundle; and $I_\alpha = (\delta^\beta_\alpha)$ and $I_\beta = (\delta^j_i)$ are the unit tensors of orders p and q, respectively.

Note that in the exterior products of 1-forms, occurring in equations (1.17) and in further structure equations of this subsection, multiplication is performed according to the regular rules of matrix multiplication—row by column.

Along with the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$, in the space P^n one can consider the dual manifold $G(n-m-1,n)$. Its base forms are the forms ω^α_i, and its geometry is identical to that of the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$.

2. With the help of Grassmann coordinates, the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$ can be mapped onto a smooth algebraic variety $\Omega(m,n)$ of dimension $\rho = pq$ embedded into a projective space P^N of dimension $N = \begin{pmatrix} p+q \\ p \end{pmatrix} - 1$.

Suppose that $x = A_0 \wedge A_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge A_m$ is a point of the variety $\Omega(m,n)$. Then

$$dx = \tau x + \omega^i_\alpha e^\alpha_i, \tag{1.18}$$

where by (1.8) $\tau = \theta_0^0 + \ldots + \theta_m^m = \frac{pq}{p+q}\omega$ and

$$e_i^\alpha = A_0 \wedge \ldots \wedge A_{\alpha-1} \wedge A_i \wedge A_{\alpha+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge A_m,$$

and the points e_i^α together with the point x determine a basis in the tangent subspace $T_x(\Omega)$. The second differential of the point x satisfies the relation

$$d^2x \equiv \sum_{\alpha<\beta, i<j} (\omega_\alpha^i \omega_\beta^j - \omega_\alpha^j \omega_\beta^i) e_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \pmod{T_x(\Omega)}, \tag{1.19}$$

where

$$e_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = A_0 \wedge \ldots \wedge A_{\alpha-1} \wedge A_i \wedge A_{\alpha+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge A_{\beta-1} \wedge A_j \wedge A_{\beta+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge A_m$$

are points of the space P^N that lie on the variety $\Omega(m, n)$ and together with the points x and e_i^α determine the osculating space $T_x^2(\Omega)$ of the variety Ω. The quadratic forms

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \omega_\alpha^i \omega_\beta^j - \omega_\alpha^j \omega_\beta^i \tag{1.20}$$

are the second fundamental forms of the variety $\Omega \subset P^N$.

The equations $\omega_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = 0$ determine the cone of asymptotic directions of the variety Ω at a point $x \in \Omega$. The equations of this cone can be written as follows:

$$\operatorname{rank}\ (\omega_\alpha^i) = 1. \tag{1.21}$$

In view of (1.21), parametric equations of this cone have the form

$$\omega_\alpha^i = t_\alpha s^i, \ \ \alpha = 0, 1, \ldots, m; \ \ i = m+1, \ldots, n. \tag{1.22}$$

If we consider a projectivization of this cone, then t_α and s^i can be taken as homogeneous coordinates of projective spaces P^m and P^{n-m-1}. Thus such a projectivization is an embedding of the direct product $P^{p-1} \times P^{q-1}$ into a projective space $P^{\rho-1}$ of dimension $\rho - 1$, where $\rho = pq$. Such an embedding is called the *Segre variety* and is denoted by $S(p-1, q-1)$. This is the reason that the cone of asymptotic directions of the variety Ω determined by equations (1.21) is called the *Segre cone.* This cone is denoted by $SC_x(p, q)$ since it carries two families of plane generators of dimensions p and q. Plane generators from different families of the cone $SC_x(p, q)$ have a common straight line. It is possible to prove that the cone $SC_x(p, q)$ is the intersection of the tangent subspace $T_x(\Omega)$ and the variety Ω:

$$C_x(p, q) = T_x(\Omega) \cap \Omega.$$

The differential geometry of Grassmannians was studied in the papers [4], [24], and [29].

2 Almost Grassmann Structures

1. Now we can define the notion of an almost Grassmann structure.

Definition 1 Let M be a differentiable manifold of dimension pq, and let $SC(p,q)$ be a differentiable fibration of Segre cones with the base M such that $SC_x(M) \subset T_x(M), \quad x \in M$. The pair $(M, SC(p,q))$ is said to be an *almost Grassmann structure* and is denoted by $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$. The manifold M endowed with such a structure is said to be an *almost Grassmann manifold*.

As was the case for Grassmann structures, the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ is equivalent to the structure $AG(q-1, p+q-1)$ since both of these structures are generated on the manifold M by a differentiable family of Segre cones $SC_x(p,q)$.

Let us consider some examples.

Example 2 The main example of an almost Grassmann structure is the almost Grassmann structure associated with the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$. As we saw, there is a field of Segre cones $SC_x(p,q) = T_x(\Omega) \bigcap \Omega, \ x \in \Omega$, where $p = m+1$ and $q = n-m$, which defines an almost Grassmann structure.

Example 3 Consider a pseudoconformal $CO(2,2)$-structure on a four-dimensional manifold M. The isotropic cones C_x of this structure carry two families of plane generators. Hence, these cones are Segre cones $SC_x(2,2)$. Therefore, a pseudoconformal $CO(2,2)$-structure is an almost Grassmann structure $AG(1,3)$.

If we complexify the four-dimensional tangent subspace $T_x(M^4)$ and consider Segre cones with complex generators, then conformal $CO(1,3)$- and $CO(4,0)$-structures can also be considered as complex almost Grassmann structures of the same type $AG(1,3)$. However, in this paper, we will consider only real almost Grassmann structures.

Almost Grassmann structures arise also in the study of multidimensional webs (see [7] and [18]).

Example 4 Consider a three-web formed on a manifold M^{2q} of dimension $2q$ by three foliations $\lambda_u, \ u = 1,2,3$, of codimension q which are in general position (see [1] or [7]). Through any point $x \in M^{2q}$, there pass three leaves $\mathcal{F}_u$ belonging to the foliations λ_u. In the tangent subspace $T_x(M^{2q})$, we consider three subspaces $T_x(\mathcal{F}_u)$ which are tangent to $\mathcal{F}_u$ at the point x. If we take the projectivization of this configuration with center at the point x, then we obtain a projective space P^{2q-1} of dimension $2q-1$ containing three subspaces of dimension $q-1$ which are in general position. These three subspaces determine a Segre variety $S(1, q-1)$, and the latter variety is the directrix for a Segre cone $SC_x(2,q) \subset T_x(M^{2q})$. Thus, on M^{2q}, a field of Segre cones arises, and this field determines an almost Grassmann structure on M^{2q}.

The structural group of the web $W(3,2,q)$ is smaller than that of the induced almost Grassmann structure, since transformations of this group must keep invariant the subspaces $T_x(\mathcal{F}_u)$. Thus, the structural group of the three-web is the group $\mathbf{GL}(q)$.

Example 5 Consider a $(p+1)$-web $W(p+1,p,q) = (M; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{p+1})$ formed on a differentiable manifold M of dimension pq by $p+1$ foliations $\lambda_u,\ u = 1, \dots, p+1$ of dimension q which are in general position on M (see [16] or [18]).

As in Example **2.4**, the tangent spaces $T_x(\mathcal{F}_u)$ define the cone $SC_x(p,q) \supset T_x(\mathcal{F}_u)$, and the field of these cones defines an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ on M.

The structural group of the web $W(p+1,p,q)$ is the same group $G = \mathbf{GL}(q)$ as for the web $W(3,2,q)$, and this group does not depend on p.

2. The structural group of the almost Grassmann structure is a subgroup of the general linear group $\mathbf{GL}(pq)$ of transformations of the space $T_x(M)$, which leave the cone $SC_x(p,q) \subset T_x(M)$ invariant. We denote this group by $G = \mathbf{GL}(p,q)$.

To clarify the structure of this group, in the tangent space $T_x(M)$, we consider a family of frames $\{e_i^\alpha\}, \alpha = 1, \dots, p;\quad i = p+1, \dots, p+q$, such that for any fixed i, the vectors e_i^α belong to a p-dimensional generator ξ of the Segre cone $SC_x(p,q)$, and for any fixed α, the vectors e_i^α belong to a q-dimensional generator η of $SC_x(p,q)$. In such a frame, the equations of the cone $SC_x(p,q)$ can be written as follows:

$$z_\alpha^i = t_\alpha s^i, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, p, \quad i = p+1, \dots, p+q, \tag{2.1}$$

where z_α^i are the coordinates of a vector $z = z_\alpha^i e_i^\alpha \subset T_x(M)$, and t_α and s^i are parameters on which a vector $z \subset SC_x(M)$ depends.

The family of frames $\{e_i^\alpha\}$ attached to the cone $SC_x(p,q)$ admits a transformation of the form

$$'e_i^\alpha = A_\beta^\alpha A_i^j e_j^\beta, \tag{2.2}$$

where (A_β^α) and (A_j^i) are nonsingular square matrices of orders p and q, respectively. These matrices are not defined uniquely since they admit a multiplication by reciprocal scalars. However, they can be made unique by restricting to unimodular matrices (A_β^α) or (A_i^j): $\det(A_\beta^\alpha) = 1$ or $\det(A_i^j) = 1$. Thus the structural group of the almost Grassmann structure defined by equations (2.2), can be represented in the form

$$G = \mathbf{SL}(p) \times \mathbf{GL}(q) \cong \mathbf{GL}(p) \times \mathbf{SL}(q), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathbf{SL}(p)$ and $\mathbf{SL}(q)$ are special linear groups of dimension p and q, respectively. Such a representation has been used by Hangan ([20], [21], [22]) and Goldberg [17] (see also the book [18], Ch. 2), and [25]. Unlike this approach, we will assume that both matrices (A_β^α) and (A_i^j) are unimodular but the right-hand side of equation (2.2) admits a multiplication by a scalar factor. As a result, we obtain a more symmetric representation of the group G:

$$G = \mathbf{SL}(p) \times \mathbf{SL}(q) \times \mathbf{H}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{R}^* \otimes \mathrm{Id}$ is the group of homotheties of the $T_x(M)$.

It follows that *an almost Grassmann structure* $AG(m,n)$ *is a* G*-structure of first order.*

It follows from condition (2.1) that p-dimensional plane generators ξ of the Segre cone $SC_x(p,q)$ are determined by values of the parameters s^i, and t_α are coordinates of points of a generator ξ. But a plane generator ξ is not changed if we multiply the parameters s^i by the same number. Thus, the family of plane generators ξ depends on $q-1$ parameters.

Similarly, q-dimensional plane generators η of the Segre cone $SC_x(p,q)$ are determined by values of the parameters t_α, and s^i are coordinates of points of a generator η. But a plane generator η is not changed if we multiply the parameters t_α by the same number. Thus, the family of plane generators η depends on $p-1$ parameters.

The p-dimensional subspaces ξ form a fiber bundle on the manifold M. The base of this bundle is the manifold M, and its fiber attached to a point $x \in M$ is the set of all p-dimensional plane generators ξ of the Segre cone $SC_x(p,q)$. The dimension of a fiber is $q-1$, and it is parametrized by means of a projective space P_α, $\dim P_\alpha = q-1$. We will denote this fiber bundle of p-subspaces by $E_\alpha = (M, P_\alpha)$.

In a similar manner, q-dimensional plane generators η of the Segre cone $SC_x(p,q)$ form on M the fiber bundle $E_\beta = (M, P_\beta)$ with the base M and fibers of dimension $p-1 = \dim P_\beta$. The fibers are q-dimensional plane generators η of the Segre cone $SC_x(p,q)$.

Consider the manifold $M_\alpha = M \times P_\alpha$ of dimension $pq+q-1$. The fiber bundle E_α induces on M_α the distribution Δ_α of plane elements ξ_α of dimension q. In a similar manner, on the manifold $M_\beta = M \times P_\beta$ the fiber bundle E_β induces the distribution Δ_β of plane elements η_β of dimension p.

Definition 6 An almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ is said to be α-*semiintegrable* if the distribution Δ_α is integrable on this structure. Similarly, an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ is said to be β-*semiintegrable* if the distribution Δ_β is integrable on this structure. A structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ is called *integrable* if it is both α- and β-semiintegrable.

Integral manifolds $\widetilde{V}_\alpha$ of the distribution Δ_α of an α-semiintegrable almost Grassmann structure are of dimension p. They are projected on the original manifold M in the form of a submanifold V_α of the same dimension p, which, at any of its points, is tangent to the p-subspace ξ_α of the fiber bundle E_α. Through each point $x \in M$, there passes a $(q-1)$-parameter family of submanifolds V_α.

Similarly, integral manifolds $\widetilde{V}_\beta$ of the distribution Δ_β of a β-semiintegrable almost Grassmann structure are of dimension q. They are projected on the original manifold M in the form of a submanifold V_β of the same dimension q, which, at any of its points, is tangent to the q-subspace η_β of the fiber bundle E_β. Through each point $x \in M$, there passes a $(p-1)$-parameter family of submanifolds V_β.

If an almost Grassmann structure on M is integrable, then through each point $x \in M$, there pass a $(q-1)$-parameter family of submanifolds V_α and a $(p-1)$-parameter family of submanifolds V_β which were described above.

The Grassmann structure $G(m,n)$ is an integrable almost Grassmann structure $AG(m,n)$ since through any point $x \in \Omega(m,n)$, onto which the manifold $G(m,n)$ is mapped bijectively under the Grassmann mapping, there pass a $(q-1)$-parameter family of p-dimensional plane generators (which are the submanifolds V_α) and a $(p-1)$-parameter family of q-dimensional plane generators (which are the submanifolds V_β). In the projective space P^n, there corresponds to submanifolds V_α a family of m-dimensional subspaces belonging to a subspace of dimension $m+1$, and there corresponds to submanifolds V_β a family of m-dimensional subspaces passing through a subspace of dimension $m-1$.

3 Structure Equations of an Almost Grassmann Structures

1. Consider a differentiable manifold M of dimension pq endowed with an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$. Suppose that $x \in M$, $T_x(M)$ is the tangent space of the manifold M at the point x and that $\{e_i^\alpha\}$ is an adapted frame of the structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$. The decomposition of a vector $z \in T_x(M)$ with respect to this basis can be written in the form

$$z = \omega_\alpha^i(z) e_i^\alpha,$$

where ω_α^i are 1-forms making up the *co-frame* in the space $T_x(M)$. If $z = dx$ is the differential of a point $x \in M$, then the forms $\omega_\alpha^i(dx)$ are differential forms defined on a first-order frame bundle associated with the almost Grassmann structure. These forms constitute a completely integrable system of forms. As a result we have

$$d\omega_\alpha^i = \omega_\beta^j \wedge \omega_{\alpha j}^{i\beta}. \tag{3.1}$$

The forms ω_α^i are called also the *basis forms* of the manifold M.

As earlier, we set $\pi_{\beta i}^{j\alpha} = \omega_{\beta i}^{j\alpha}(\delta)$, where δ is the operator of differentiation with respect to the fiber parameters of the frame bundle. These forms determine an infinitesimal transformation of the adapted frames:

$$\delta e_i^\alpha = \pi_{\beta i}^{j\alpha} e_j^\beta. \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, the admissible transformations of adapted frames can be written as closed form equations (2.2). Solving equations (2.2), we obtain

$$e_i^\alpha = \tilde{A}_\beta^\alpha \tilde{A}_i^j {}' e_j^\beta, \tag{3.3}$$

where $(\tilde{A}_\beta^\alpha)$ and $(\tilde{A}_j^i)$ are the inverse matrices of the matrices (A_β^α) and (A_j^i), respectively:

$$A_\gamma^\alpha \tilde{A}_\beta^\gamma = A_\beta^\gamma \tilde{A}_\gamma^\alpha = \delta_\beta^\alpha, \quad A_k^i \tilde{A}_j^k = A_j^k \tilde{A}_k^i = \delta_j^i. \tag{3.4}$$

It follows from (3.4) that

$$A_\beta^\gamma \cdot \delta \tilde{A}_\gamma^\alpha = -\tilde{A}_\gamma^\alpha \cdot \delta A_\beta^\gamma, \;\; A_k^i \cdot \delta \tilde{A}_j^k = -\tilde{A}_j^k \cdot \delta A_k^i, \tag{3.5}$$

Suppose now that $\{x, \, 'e_i^\alpha\}$ is a fixed frame, $d('e_i^\alpha) = 0$. Then, differentiating (3.3) for a fixed $x \in M$ and using (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\delta e_i^\alpha = (\delta_\beta^\alpha \pi_i^j - \delta_i^j \pi_\beta^\alpha) e_j^\beta, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\pi_i^j = A_k^j \cdot \delta \tilde{A}_i^k, \;\; \pi_\beta^\alpha = \tilde{A}_\gamma^\alpha \cdot \delta A_\beta^\gamma. \tag{3.7}$$

Comparing formulas (3.2) and (3.6), we find that

$$\pi_{\beta i}^{j\alpha} = \delta_\beta^\alpha \pi_i^j - \delta_i^j \pi_\beta^\alpha. \tag{3.8}$$

In these formulas the forms π_j^i are invariant forms of the group $\mathbf{GL}(q)$, the forms π_β^α are invariant forms of the group $\mathbf{GL}(p)$, and the forms $\pi_{\beta i}^{j\alpha}$ are invariant forms of the structural group G of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

If a point $x \in M$ is variable, then from equations (3.8) we find that

$$\omega_{\beta i}^{j\alpha} = \delta_\beta^\alpha \omega_i^j - \delta_i^j \omega_\beta^\alpha + \widehat{u}_{\beta ik}^{j\alpha\gamma} \omega_\gamma^k, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\widehat{u}_{\beta ik}^{j\alpha\gamma}$ are certain functions defined on the first-order frame bundle. Substituting for $\omega_{\beta i}^{j\alpha}$ in (3.1) their values taken from (3.9), we obtain

$$d\omega_\alpha^i = \omega_\alpha^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^i + \omega_\alpha^j \wedge \omega_j^i + u_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma} \omega_\beta^j \wedge \omega_\gamma^k, \tag{3.10}$$

where $u_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma}$ denotes the result of alternation of the quantities $\widehat{u}_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma}$ occurring in (3.9) with respect to the pairs of indices $\binom{\beta}{j}$ and $\binom{\gamma}{k}$: $u_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma} = -u_{\alpha kj}^{i\gamma\beta}$.

If we set

$$\omega_\alpha^\beta = \widetilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta + \frac{1}{p} \delta_\alpha^\beta \omega_\gamma^\gamma, \;\; \omega_i^j = \widetilde{\omega}_i^j + \frac{1}{q} \delta_i^j \omega_k^k,$$

then it is easy to see that $\widetilde{\omega}_\alpha^\alpha = 0$ and $\widetilde{\omega}_k^k = 0$, and the above structure equations take the form

$$d\omega_\alpha^i = \widetilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^i + \omega_\alpha^j \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_j^i + \omega \wedge \omega_\alpha^i + u_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma} \omega_\beta^j \wedge \omega_\gamma^k,$$

where $\omega = \frac{1}{p}\omega_\gamma^\gamma - \frac{1}{q}\omega_k^k$. If we suppress $\sim$, then the structure equations take the form:

$$d\omega_\alpha^i = \omega_\alpha^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^i + \omega_\alpha^j \wedge \omega_j^i + \omega \wedge \omega_\alpha^i + u_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma} \omega_\beta^j \wedge \omega_\gamma^k, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} = -u^{i\gamma\beta}_{\alpha kj} \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\omega^\gamma_\gamma = 0, \;\; \omega^k_k = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Conditions (3.13) mean that the subgroups $\mathbf{GL}(p)$ and $\mathbf{GL}(q)$ of the structural group G of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ are reduced to the groups $\mathbf{SL}(p)$ and $\mathbf{SL}(p)$, respectively, and that the group G itself is represented in the form (2.4). As for the Grassmannian $G(p-1, p+q-1)$ (see Section **1**), for the almost Grassmann manifold the forms $\omega^\beta_\alpha, \omega^j_i$, and ω are fiber forms defined on the second-order frame bundle associated with the almost Grassmann manifold $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

The structure equations (3.11) differ from the structure equations (1.7) of the Grassmannian $G(p-1, p+q-1)$ only by the last term.

2. We obtain the remaining structure equations of the almost Grassmann manifold M by exterior differentiation of (3.11). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega^\beta_\alpha \wedge \omega^i_\beta - \Omega^i_j \wedge \omega^j_\alpha + (\nabla u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\omega) \wedge \omega^j_\beta \wedge \omega^k_\gamma \\ &+ d\omega \wedge \omega^i_\alpha + 2u^{i\varepsilon\gamma}_{\alpha mk} u^{m\delta\beta}_{\varepsilon lj} \omega^l_\delta \wedge \omega^j_\beta \wedge \omega^k_\gamma = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega^\beta_\alpha = d\omega^\beta_\alpha - \omega^\gamma_\alpha \wedge \omega^\beta_\gamma, \;\; \Omega^i_j = d\omega^i_j - \omega^k_j \wedge \omega^i_k, \\ &\nabla u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} = du^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} - u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\delta jk}\omega^\delta_\alpha - u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha lk}\omega^l_j - u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jl}\omega^l_k + u^{l\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\omega^i_l + u^{i\delta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\omega^\beta_\delta + u^{i\beta\delta}_{\alpha jk}\omega^\gamma_\delta.\end{aligned}$$

To solve equations (3.14), we represent the forms $\Omega^i_j, \Omega^\beta_\alpha$, and $d\omega$ from the left-hand side of equations (3.14) as a sum of terms containing the basis forms and the terms not containing these forms:

$$\Omega^\beta_\alpha = \omega^k_\gamma \wedge \omega^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} + \Phi^\beta_\alpha, \;\; \Omega^i_j = \omega^{i\gamma}_{jk} \wedge \omega^k_\gamma + \Phi^i_j, \;\; d\omega = \omega^\alpha_i \wedge \omega^i_\alpha + \Phi, \tag{3.15}$$

where $\Phi^\beta_\alpha, \Phi^i_j, \Phi$ and $\omega^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k}, \omega^{i\gamma}_{jk}$ are certain 2- and 1-forms not expressed in terms of the basis forms ω^i_α only.

By (3.13), we have $\Omega^\gamma_\gamma = 0$ and $\Omega^k_k = 0$, which implies that

$$\Phi^\gamma_\gamma = 0, \;\; \Phi^k_k = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\omega^{\alpha\gamma}_{\alpha k} = 0, \;\; \omega^{i\gamma}_{ik} = 0 \tag{3.17}$$

Substituting (3.15) into equations (3.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\delta^i_j \Phi^\beta_\alpha - \delta^\beta_\alpha \Phi^i_j + \delta^\beta_\alpha \delta^i_j \Phi) \wedge \omega^j_\beta + 2u^{i\varepsilon[\gamma}_{\alpha m[k} u^{|m|\delta\beta]}_{|\varepsilon|lj]} \omega^l_\delta \wedge \omega^j_\beta \wedge \omega^k_\gamma \\
&+(\delta^i_{[j} \omega^{[\beta\gamma]}_{|\alpha|k]} + \delta^{[\beta}_\alpha \omega^{|i|\gamma]}_{[jk]} + \delta^{[\gamma}_\alpha \delta^{|i|}_{[k} \omega^{\beta]}_{j]} + \nabla u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} \omega) \wedge \omega^j_\beta \wedge \omega^k_\gamma = 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.18}
$$

where the alternation is carried over with respect to vertical pairs of indices. The first term in the left-hand side of (3.18) does not have similar terms among other terms of this side. Thus this term vanishes. But since the first factor of this term does not contain the basis forms, this factor itself vanishes:

$$
\delta^i_j \Phi^\beta_\alpha - \delta^\beta_\alpha \Phi^i_j + \delta^\beta_\alpha \delta^i_j \Phi = 0. \tag{3.19}
$$

Contracting (3.19) with respect to the indices α and β, applying (3.16), and dividing by p, we find that

$$
-\Phi^i_j + \delta^i_j \Phi = 0.
$$

Contracting this equation with respect to the indices i and j, we obtain $\Phi = 0$, and consequently $\Phi^i_j = 0$. Finally, by (3.19), we find that $\Phi^\beta_\alpha = 0$.

Now equation (3.18) contains only the last two terms. It follows that the 1-form which is multiplied by $\omega^j_\beta \wedge \omega^k_\gamma$ is expressed only in terms of the basis forms. Therefore, if the principal parameters are fixed (i.e., if $\omega^i_\alpha = 0$), then we obtain

$$
2(\nabla_\delta u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} \pi) + \delta^i_j \pi^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} - \delta^i_k \pi^{\gamma\beta}_{\alpha j} + \delta^\beta_\alpha \pi^{i\gamma}_{jk} - \delta^\gamma_\alpha \pi^{i\beta}_{kj} + \delta^\gamma_\alpha \delta^i_k \pi^\beta_j - \delta^\beta_\alpha \delta^i_j \pi^\gamma_k = 0, \tag{3.20}
$$

where as usual $\pi^\gamma_k = \omega^\gamma_k(\delta)$, $\pi^{\beta\gamma}_{jk} = \omega^{\beta\gamma}_{jk}(\delta)$, and $\pi = \omega(\delta)$. It follows from equation (3.20) that the quantities $u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ form a geometric object that is defined in a second-order differential neighborhood of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

Consider the quantities

$$
u^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} = u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha ik}, \;\; u^{i\gamma}_{jk} = u^{i\alpha\gamma}_{\alpha jk}. \tag{3.21}
$$

If we contract equations (3.20) with respect to the indices i and j, then after some calculations we find that

$$
\nabla_\delta u^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} + u^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} \pi = -\frac{1}{2}\Big[q(\pi^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} - \delta^\beta_\alpha \pi^\gamma_k) - \pi^{\gamma\beta}_{\alpha k} - \delta^\gamma_\alpha (\pi^{i\beta}_{ki} - \pi^\beta_k)\Big]. \tag{3.22}
$$

Similarly, contracting equations (3.20) with respect to the indices α and β, we obtain

$$\nabla_\delta u^{i\gamma}_{jk} + u^{i\gamma}_{jk}\pi = -\frac{1}{2}\Big[p(\pi^{i\gamma}_{jk} - \delta^i_j\pi^\gamma_k) - \pi^{i\gamma}_{kj} - \delta^i_k(\pi^{\gamma\alpha}_{\alpha j} - \pi^\gamma_j)\Big]. \tag{3.23}$$

Formulas (3.22) and (3.23) show that each of the quantities $u^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k}$ and $u^{i\gamma}_{jk}$ form a geometric object that is defined in a second-order differential neighborhood of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

Let us prove that if we make a specialization of second-order frames, then we can reduce these geometric objects to 0.

We will prove this for the geometric object $u^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k}$. To this end, we must show that the 1-forms in the right-hand sides of equations (3.22) are linearly independent. First, we note that the forms $\pi^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k}$ are linearly independent in the set of second-order frames. Let us equate to 0 the right-hand sides of equations (3.22):

$$q(\pi^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} - \delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^\gamma_k) - \pi^{\gamma\beta}_{\alpha k} - \delta^\gamma_\alpha(\pi^{i\beta}_{ki} - \pi^\beta_k) = 0. \tag{3.24}$$

If we contract equations (3.24) first with respect to the indices α and β and second with respect to the indices α and γ, we arrive at the system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \pi^{\gamma\alpha}_{\alpha k} + \pi^{i\gamma}_{ki} = (1-pq)\pi^\gamma_k, \\ q\pi^{\gamma\alpha}_{\alpha k} - p\pi^{i\gamma}_{ki} = (q-p)\pi^\gamma_k. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.25}$$

If we solve this system, we find the quantities $\pi^{\gamma\alpha}_{\alpha k}$ and $\pi^{i\gamma}_{ki}$:

$$\pi^{\gamma\alpha}_{\alpha k} = -\frac{q(p^2-1)}{p+q}\pi^\gamma_k, \ \ \pi^{i\gamma}_{ki} = -\frac{p(q^2-1)}{p+q}\pi^\gamma_k. \tag{3.26}$$

Substituting these values of $\pi^{i\gamma}_{ki}$ into equations (3.24), after some calculations, we reduce the equations obtained to the following form:

$$q\widetilde{\pi}^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} - \widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\beta}_{\alpha k} = 0, \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\pi}^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} = \pi^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} - \frac{q}{p+q}\Big(\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^\gamma_k - p\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^\beta_k\Big).$$

Interchanging in (3.27) the indices β and γ, we obtain

$$-\widetilde{\pi}^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} + q\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\beta}_{\alpha k} = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Since the determinant of the system of equations (3.27)–(3.28) is equal to $q^2 - 1 \neq 0$, the system has only the trivial solution.

But the forms $\widetilde{\pi}_{\alpha k}^{\beta\gamma}$ as the forms $\pi_{\alpha k}^{\beta\gamma}$ are linearly independent. Thus the forms $q\widetilde{\pi}_{\alpha k}^{\beta\gamma} - \widetilde{\pi}_{\alpha k}^{\gamma\beta}$ are linearly independent too. But, up to the factor $-\frac{1}{2}$, the latter forms coincide with the right-hand sides of equations (3.22).

Hence the geometric object $u_{\alpha k}^{\beta\gamma} = u_{\alpha ik}^{i\beta\gamma}$ can be reduced to 0. Similarly the geometric object $u_{jk}^{i\gamma} = u_{\alpha jk}^{i\alpha\gamma}$ can be reduced to 0. This operation leads to a reduction of the set of second-order frames of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$. Before this reduction, the set of second-order frames depended on $pq(p^2+q^2)$ parameters equal to the number of linearly independent forms among the forms $\pi_{\alpha k}^{\beta\gamma}$ and $\pi_{jk}^{i\gamma}$. After the reduction, the forms $\widetilde{\pi}_{\alpha k}^{\beta\gamma}$ and $\widetilde{\pi}_{jk}^{i\gamma}$ vanish, and the forms $\pi_{\alpha k}^{\beta\gamma}$ and $\pi_{jk}^{i\gamma}$ are expressed in terms of the 1-forms π_k^γ:

$$\pi_{\alpha k}^{\beta\gamma} = \frac{q}{p+q}\Big(\delta_\alpha^\beta \pi_k^\gamma - p\delta_\alpha^\gamma \pi_k^\beta\Big), \quad \pi_{jk}^{i\gamma} = \frac{p}{p+q}\Big(\delta_j^i \pi_k^\gamma - q\delta_k^i \pi_j^\gamma\Big). \tag{3.29}$$

Since there are pq forms π_k^γ, and they are linearly independent, the reduced family of second-order frames depends on pq parameters. The 1-forms π_k^γ define admissible transformations of frames in this reduced family of second-order frames.

Denote by $a_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma}$ the quantities $u_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma}$ after the specialization indicated above. Then the quantities $a_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma}$ satisfy the conditions

$$a_{\alpha jk}^{i\alpha\gamma} = 0, \quad a_{\alpha ik}^{i\beta\gamma} = 0 \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$a_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma} = -a_{\alpha kj}^{i\gamma\beta}. \tag{3.31}$$

The last relations follow from conditions (3.12).

Substituting expressions (3.29) into equations (3.20), we find that

$$\nabla_\delta a_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma} + a_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma}\pi = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

This implies the following theorem:

Theorem 7 *The quantities* $a_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma}$*, defined in a second-order neighborhood by the reduction of second-order frames indicated above, form a relative tensor of weight* -1 *and satisfy conditions* (3.30) *and* (3.31)*.*

Definition 8 The tensor $\{a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\}$ is said to be the *first structure tensor*, or the *torsion tensor*, of an almost Grassmann manifold $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

After the specialization of second-order frames has been made, the first structure equations (3.11) become

$$d\omega^i_\alpha = \omega^j_\alpha \wedge \omega^i_j + \omega^\beta_\alpha \wedge \omega^i_\beta + \omega \wedge \omega^i_\alpha + a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\omega^j_\beta \wedge \omega^k_\gamma. \tag{3.33}$$

3. We will now find the expression for the tensor $a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ in terms of the quantities $u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ occurring in equations (3.11). We assume that the specialization of second-order frames indicated above has not been made and that the quantities $u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ satisfy equations (3.20), which we write in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\delta u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\pi = \frac{1}{2}\Big(&\delta^\gamma_\alpha \pi^{i\beta}_{kj} - \delta^i_j \pi^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} + \delta^i_k \pi^{\gamma\beta}_{\alpha j} - \delta^\beta_\alpha \pi^{i\gamma}_{jk} \\ &+\delta^i_j \delta^\beta_\alpha \pi^\gamma_k - \delta^i_k \delta^\gamma_\alpha \pi^\beta_j\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

We will eliminate the fiber forms $\pi^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k}, \pi^{i\gamma}_{jk}$, and π^γ_k from equations (3.34). To this end, we construct the following three objects:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} &= -\frac{2}{q^2-1}\delta^i_{[j}\Big(pu^{[\beta\gamma]}_{|\alpha|k]} + u^{[\gamma\beta]}_{|\alpha|k]}\Big), \\ y^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} &= -\frac{2}{p^2-1}\delta^{[\beta}_\alpha\Big(qu^{|i|\gamma]}_{[jk]} + u^{|i|\gamma]}_{[kj]}\Big), \\ z^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} &= \frac{2}{(p^2-1)(q^2-1)}\Big[(pq-1)\Big(\delta^i_{[j}\delta^{[\beta}_{|\alpha|}u^{|l|\gamma]}_{|l|k]} + \delta^i_{[k}\delta^{[\beta}_{|\alpha|}u^{|l|\gamma]}_{j]l}\Big) \\ &\quad +(p-q)\Big(\delta^i_{[j}\delta^{[\beta}_{|\alpha|}u^{|l|\gamma]}_{k]l} + \delta^i_{[k}\delta^{[\beta}_{|\alpha|}u^{|l|\gamma]}_{|l|j]}\Big)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

where the quantities $u^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k}$ and $u^{i\gamma}_{jk}$ are defined by formulas (3.21). A straightforward calculation with help of equations (3.22) and (3.23) gives the following differential equations for the objects $x^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$, $y^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$, and $z^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\delta x^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + x^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\pi = {} & \frac{1}{2}\Big[\delta^i_j(\pi^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} - \delta^\beta_\alpha \pi^\gamma_k) - \delta^i_k(\pi^{\gamma\beta}_{\alpha j} - \delta^\gamma_\alpha \pi^\beta_j)\Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{2(q^2-1)}\Big[q(\delta^i_k\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^{l\gamma}_{jl} - \delta^i_j\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^{l\beta}_{kl}) + \delta^i_k\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^{l\beta}_{jl} - \delta^i_j\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^{l\gamma}_{kl}\Big], \\
\nabla_\delta y^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + y^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\pi = {} & \frac{1}{2}\Big[\delta^\beta_\alpha(\pi^{i\gamma}_{jk} - \delta^i_j\pi^\gamma_k) - \delta^\gamma_\alpha(\pi^{i\beta}_{kj} - \delta^i_k\pi^\beta_j\Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{2(p^2-1)}\Big[p(\delta^i_j\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^{\beta\delta}_{\delta k} - \delta^i_k\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^{\gamma\delta}_{\delta j}) + \delta^i_k\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^{\beta\delta}_{\delta j} - \delta^i_j\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^{\gamma\delta}_{\delta k}\Big], \\
\nabla_\delta z^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + z^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\pi = {} & -\frac{1}{2(p^2-1)}\Big[p(\delta^i_j\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^{\beta\delta}_{\delta k} - \delta^i_k\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^{\gamma\delta}_{\delta j}) + \delta^i_k\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^{\beta\delta}_{\delta j} - \delta^i_j\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^{\gamma\delta}_{\delta k}\Big] \\
& - \frac{1}{2(q^2-1)}\Big[q(\delta^i_k\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^{l\gamma}_{jl} - \delta^i_j\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^{l\beta}_{kl}) + \delta^i_k\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^{l\beta}_{jl} - \delta^i_j\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^{l\gamma}_{kl}\Big] \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\Big(\delta^i_k\delta^\gamma_\alpha\pi^\beta_j - \delta^i_j\delta^\beta_\alpha\pi^\gamma_k\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

If we set

$$\widetilde{a}^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} = u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + x^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + y^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + z^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}, \tag{3.37}$$

then by (3.34) and (3.36), it is easy to check that

$$\nabla_\delta \widetilde{a}^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + \widetilde{a}^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\pi = 0.$$

This means that the quantities $\widetilde{a}^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ form a relative tensor of weight -1. Using (3.12), it is easy to verify that the tensor $\widetilde{a}^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ satisfies the conditions similar to conditions (3.30) and (3.31).

We will prove now the following proposition:

Proposition 9 *The relative tensor* $\widetilde{a} = \{\widetilde{a}^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\}$ *defined by formulas* (3.37) *and* (3.35) *and satisfying the conditions similar to conditions* (3.30) *and* (3.31) *coincides with the tensor* $a = \{a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\}$*:* $\widetilde{a} = a$.

Proof. Let us assume that from the beginning we have the tensor $a = \{a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\}$ in place of the object $u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ and that tensor satisfies conditions (3.30) and (3.31); in other words, we have equations (3.30)–(3.33) and (3.29). Then, applying (3.30), we find from (3.35) that $x^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} = y^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} = z^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} = 0$, and consequently from formula (3.37) we find that $\widetilde{a}^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} = a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$. ■

By Proposition 3.3, if we substitute for $x^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}, y^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ and $z^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ in equations

(3.37) their values (3.35), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} = u^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} &- \frac{2}{q^2-1}\delta^i_{[j}\Big(q u^{[\beta\gamma]}_{|\alpha|k]} + u^{[\gamma\beta]}_{|\alpha|k]}\Big) - \frac{2}{p^2-1}\delta^{[\beta}_{\alpha}\Big(p u^{|i|\gamma]}_{[jk]} + u^{|i|\gamma]}_{[kj]}\Big) \\ &+\frac{2}{(p^2-1)(q^2-1)}\Big[(pq-1)\Big(\delta^i_{[j}\delta^{[\beta}_{|\alpha|}u^{\gamma]}_{k]} + \delta^i_{[k}\delta^{[\beta}_{|\alpha|}\widetilde{u}^{\gamma]}_{j]}\Big) \\ &+(q-p)\Big(\delta^i_{[j}\delta^{[\beta}_{|\alpha|}\widetilde{u}^{\gamma]}_{k]} + \delta^i_{[k}\delta^{[\beta}_{|\alpha|}u^{\gamma]}_{j]}\Big)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

where the alternation is carried out with respect to the pairs of indices $\binom{\beta}{j}$, $\binom{\gamma}{k}$ or $\binom{\beta}{k}$, $\binom{\gamma}{j}$, and $u^{\gamma}_{k} = u^{l\gamma}_{lk} = u^{l\sigma\gamma}_{\sigma lk} = u^{\sigma\gamma}_{\sigma k}$, $\widetilde{u}^{\gamma}_{k} = u^{l\gamma}_{kl} = u^{l\sigma\gamma}_{\sigma kl} = -u^{l\gamma\sigma}_{\sigma lk} = -u^{\gamma\sigma}_{\sigma k}$.

The expression (3.38) of the components of the tensor $a = \{a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\}$ in the general (not reduced) third-order frame was found by Goldberg in [17] (see also [18], §2.2). Note that using another method, Hangan [22] deduced this expression again.

4 The Complete Structure Object of an Almost Grassmann Manifold

1. In this section we will construct the second and the third structural objects of the almost Grassmann structure.

From equations (3.29) it follows that, after the reduction of the second-order frame bundle made in Section **3**, we have the following equations on the manifold $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha k} &= \frac{q}{p+q}(\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}\omega^{\gamma}_{k} - p\delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha}\omega^{\beta}_{k}) + \widehat{w}^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\omega^{l}_{\delta}, \\ \omega^{i\gamma}_{jk} &= \frac{p}{p+q}(\delta^{i}_{j}\omega^{\gamma}_{k} - q\delta^{i}_{k}\pi^{\gamma}_{j}) + \widehat{w}^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}\omega^{l}_{\delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Substituting these forms into system (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & d\omega^{\beta}_{\alpha} - \omega^{\gamma}_{\alpha}\wedge\omega^{\beta}_{\gamma} = \frac{q}{p+q}(\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}\omega^{k}_{\gamma}\wedge\omega^{\gamma}_{k} - p\omega^{k}_{\alpha}\wedge\omega^{\beta}_{k}) + w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\omega^{k}_{\gamma}\wedge\omega^{l}_{\delta}, \\ & d\omega^{i}_{j} - \omega^{k}_{j}\wedge\omega^{i}_{k} = \frac{p}{p+q}(\delta^{i}_{j}\omega^{\gamma}_{k}\wedge\omega^{k}_{\gamma} - q\omega^{\gamma}_{j}\wedge\omega^{i}_{\gamma}) + w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}\omega^{k}_{\gamma}\wedge\omega^{l}_{\delta}, \\ & d\omega = \omega^{\alpha}_{i}\wedge\omega^{i}_{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl} = \widehat{w}^{\beta[\gamma\delta]}_{\alpha[kl]}$ and $w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl} = \widehat{w}^{i[\gamma\delta]}_{j[kl]}$. The latter quantities satisfy the relations

$$w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl} = -w^{\beta\delta\gamma}_{\alpha lk}, \quad w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl} = -w^{i\delta\gamma}_{jlk} \tag{4.3}$$

and also the relations

$$w^{\alpha\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}=0,\ \ w^{i\gamma\delta}_{ikl}=0, \tag{4.4}$$

which follow from equations (4.1) and (3.17).

Exterior differentiation of equations (4.2) gives the following exterior cubic equations:

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nabla w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}+2w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\,\omega)\wedge\omega^k_\gamma\wedge\omega^l_\delta-2w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\,a^{l\varepsilon\zeta}_{\delta mn}\omega^k_\gamma\wedge\omega^m_\varepsilon\wedge\omega^n_\zeta\\&+\frac{pq}{p+q}\Big[(\Omega^\beta_k+\omega\wedge\omega^\beta_k)\wedge\omega^k_\alpha-a^{m\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\,\omega^\beta_m\wedge\omega^k_\gamma\wedge\omega^l_\delta\Big]=0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nabla w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}+2w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}\omega)\wedge\omega^k_\gamma\wedge\omega^l_\delta-2w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}a^{l\varepsilon\zeta}_{\delta mn}\omega^k_\gamma\wedge\omega^m_\varepsilon\wedge\omega^n_\zeta\\&-\frac{pq}{p+q}\Big[(\Omega^\gamma_j+\omega\wedge\omega^\gamma_j)\wedge\omega^i_\gamma-a^{i\delta\varepsilon}_{\gamma lm}\omega^\gamma_j\wedge\omega^l_\delta\wedge\omega^m_\varepsilon\Big]=0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$(\Omega^\alpha_i+\omega\wedge\omega^\alpha_i)\wedge\omega^i_\alpha-a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\omega^\alpha_i\wedge\omega^j_\beta\wedge\omega^k_\gamma=0, \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega^\alpha_i=d\omega^\alpha_i-\omega^\gamma_i\wedge\omega^\alpha_\gamma-\omega^l_i\wedge\omega^\alpha_l,\\&\nabla w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}=dw^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}-w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\varepsilon kl}\,\omega^\varepsilon_\alpha-w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha jl}\,\omega^j_k-w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kj}\omega^j_l+w^{\varepsilon\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kj}\omega^\beta_\varepsilon+w^{\beta\varepsilon\delta}_{\alpha kj}\omega^\gamma_\varepsilon+w^{\beta\gamma\varepsilon}_{\alpha kj}\omega^\delta_\varepsilon,\\&\nabla w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}=dw^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}-w^{i\gamma\delta}_{mkl}\omega^m_j-w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jml}\omega^m_k-w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkm}\omega^m_l+w^{m\gamma\delta}_{jkl}\,\omega^i_m+w^{i\varepsilon\delta}_{jkl}\omega^\varepsilon_\gamma+w^{i\gamma\varepsilon}_{jkl}\omega^\varepsilon_\delta.\end{aligned}$$

From equations (4.5)–(4.7) it follows that the 2-form $\Omega^\alpha_i+\omega\wedge\omega^\alpha_i$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\Omega^\alpha_i+\omega\wedge\omega^\alpha_i=\omega^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}\wedge\omega^j_\beta. \tag{4.8}$$

The forms $\omega^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}$ are defined on the fourth-order frame bundle associated with the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1,p+q-1)$.

Substituting expressions (4.8) into (4.5)–(4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\nabla w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\quad&+2w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\,\omega-\frac{pq}{p+q}(\delta^\gamma_\alpha\omega^{\beta\delta}_{kl}+a^{m\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\,\omega^\beta_m)\Big]\wedge\omega^k_\gamma\wedge\omega^l_\delta\\&-2w^{\beta\varepsilon\sigma}_{\alpha ms}a^{s\gamma\delta}_{\sigma kl}\wedge\omega^m_\varepsilon\wedge\omega^k_\gamma\wedge\omega^l_\delta=0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\nabla w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}\quad&+2w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}\omega+\frac{pq}{p+q}(\delta^i_k\omega^{\gamma\delta}_{jl}+a^{i\gamma\delta}_{\varepsilon kl}\omega^\varepsilon_j)\Big]\wedge\omega^k_\gamma\wedge\omega^l_\delta\\&-2w^{i\varepsilon\sigma}_{jms}a^{s\gamma\delta}_{\sigma kl}\wedge\omega^m_\varepsilon\wedge\omega^k_\gamma\wedge\omega^l_\delta=0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$(\omega_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} + a_{\gamma ij}^{k\alpha\beta}\omega_k^\gamma) \wedge \omega_\alpha^i \wedge \omega_\beta^j = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

It follows from (4.9)–(4.11) that for $\omega_\alpha^i = 0$, we have

$$\nabla_\delta w_{\alpha kl}^{\beta\gamma\delta} + 2w_{\alpha kl}^{\beta\gamma\delta}\pi - \frac{pq}{p+q}(\delta_\alpha^{[\gamma}\pi_{[kl]}^{|\beta|\delta]} + a_{\alpha kl}^{m\gamma\delta}\pi_m^\beta) = 0, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\nabla_\delta w_{jkl}^{i\gamma\delta} + 2w_{jkl}^{i\gamma\delta}\pi + \frac{pq}{p+q}(\delta_{[k}^i\pi_{|j|l]}^{[\gamma\delta]} + a_{\varepsilon kl}^{i\gamma\delta}\pi_j^\varepsilon) = 0, \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$\pi_{[kl]}^{[\gamma\delta]} + a_{\varepsilon kl}^{m\gamma\delta}\pi_m^\varepsilon = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

where the alternation is carried over the pairs of indices $\binom{\gamma}{k}$ and $\binom{\delta}{l}$ and as usual $\pi_k^\gamma = \omega_k^\gamma(\delta)$, $\pi_{jk}^{\beta\gamma} = \omega_{jk}^{\beta\gamma}(\delta)$, and $\pi = \omega(\delta)$.

From (4.14) it follows that the form $\pi_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}$ can be written as

$$\pi_{kl}^{\gamma\delta} = \widetilde{\pi}_{kl}^{\gamma\delta} - a_{\varepsilon kl}^{m\gamma\delta}\pi_m^\varepsilon, \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\pi}_{kl}^{\gamma\delta} = \widetilde{\pi}_{lk}^{\delta\gamma}. \tag{4.16}$$

The 1-forms $\widetilde{\pi}_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}$ determine admissible transformations of third order frames associated with the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

If we apply equation (4.15), we can write equations (4.12) and (4.13) in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\delta w_{\alpha kl}^{\beta\gamma\delta} + 2w_{\alpha kl}^{\beta\gamma\delta}\pi \quad & -\frac{pq}{2(p+q)}\Big[\delta_\alpha^\gamma\widetilde{\pi}_{kl}^{\beta\delta} - \delta_\alpha^\delta\widetilde{\pi}_{lk}^{\beta\gamma} \\ & +(2\delta_\varepsilon^\beta a_{\alpha kl}^{m\gamma\delta} - \delta_\alpha^\gamma a_{\varepsilon kl}^{m\beta\delta} + \delta_\alpha^\delta a_{\varepsilon lk}^{m\beta\gamma})\pi_m^\varepsilon\Big] = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\delta w_{jkl}^{i\gamma\delta} + 2w_{jkl}^{i\gamma\delta}\pi \quad & +\frac{pq}{2(p+q)}\Big[\delta_k^i\widetilde{\pi}_{jl}^{\gamma\delta} - \delta_l^i\widetilde{\pi}_{jk}^{\delta\gamma} \\ & +(2\delta_j^m a_{\varepsilon kl}^{i\gamma\delta} - \delta_k^i a_{\varepsilon jl}^{m\gamma\delta} + \delta_l^i a_{\varepsilon jk}^{m\delta\gamma})\pi_m^\varepsilon\Big] = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

2. If we contract equation (4.17) with respect to the indices α and γ, equation (4.18) with respect to the indices i and k and change the notation of some indices, we obtain

$$\nabla_\delta w_{\alpha kl}^{\gamma\alpha\delta} + 2w_{\alpha kl}^{\gamma\alpha\delta}\pi - \frac{pq}{2(p+q)}\Big[p\widetilde{\pi}_{kl}^{\gamma\delta} - \widetilde{\pi}_{kl}^{\delta\gamma} + (a_{\varepsilon lk}^{m\gamma\delta} - pa_{\varepsilon kl}^{m\gamma\delta})\pi_m^\varepsilon\Big] = 0, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\nabla_\delta w^{i\gamma\delta}_{kil} + 2w^{i\gamma\delta}_{kil}\pi + \frac{pq}{2(p+q)}\Big[q\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl} - \widetilde{\pi}^{\delta\gamma}_{kl} + (a^{m\delta\gamma}_{\varepsilon kl} - qa^{m\gamma\delta}_{\varepsilon kl})\pi^\varepsilon_m\Big] = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Using the quantities $w^{\gamma\alpha\delta}_{\alpha kl}$ and $w^{i\gamma\delta}_{kil}$, we now construct the following new object:

$$w^{\gamma\delta}_{kl} = w^{\gamma\alpha\delta}_{\alpha kl} - w^{i\gamma\delta}_{kil} + w^{\delta\alpha\gamma}_{\alpha lk} - w^{i\delta\gamma}_{lik}. \quad (4.21)$$

By means of (4.19), (4.20), and (4.16), it is easy to prove that the quantities $w^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$ defined by (4.21) satisfy the following differential equations:

$$\nabla_\delta w^{\gamma\delta}_{kl} + 2w^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}\pi = \frac{pq}{p+q}[(p+q)\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl} - 2\widetilde{\pi}^{\delta\gamma}_{kl}]. \quad (4.22)$$

Formulas (4.22) show that the quantities $w^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$ form a geometric object which is defined in a third-order differential neighborhood of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

Let us prove that if we make a specialization of fourth order frames, then we can reduce the geometric object $w^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$ defined by (4.21) to 0. In our proof we will again apply the method used in Section **3**.

We must show that the 1-forms on the right-hand sides of equations (4.22) are linearly independent. First, we note that by (4.16) and (4.21), both the components $w^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$ and the forms $\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$ are symmetric with respect to the pairs of indices $\binom{\gamma}{k}$ and $\binom{\delta}{l}$, and there are $\frac{1}{2}pq(pq+1)$ linearly independent forms among the 1-forms $\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$. Let us equate to 0 the right-hand sides of equations (4.22):

$$(p+q)\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl} - 2\widetilde{\pi}^{\delta\gamma}_{kl} = 0. \quad (4.23)$$

Interchanging the indices γ and δ in (4.23), we obtain

$$-2\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl} + (p+q)\widetilde{\pi}^{\delta\gamma}_{kl} = 0. \quad (4.24)$$

Since the determinant of the system of equations (4.23)–(4.24) is equal to $(p+q)^2 - 4 \neq 0$, the system has only the trivial solution.

There are $\frac{1}{2}pq(pq+1)$ linearly independent forms among the 1-forms $(p+q)\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl} - 2\widetilde{\pi}^{\delta\gamma}_{kl}$. But, up to the factor $\frac{pq}{p+q}$, the latter forms coincide with the right-hand sides of equations (4.22).

Hence the geometric object $w^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$ can be reduced to 0. This operation leads to a reduction of the set of third-order frames of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$. Before this reduction, the set of third-order frames depended on $\frac{1}{2}pq(pq+1)$ parameters equal to the number of linearly independent forms among the forms $\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$. After the reduction, the forms $\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$ vanish,

$$\widetilde{\pi}^{\gamma\delta}_{kl} = 0, \tag{4.25}$$

and the forms $\pi^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}$ are expressed in terms of the 1-forms π^{γ}_{k},

$$\pi^{\gamma\delta}_{kl} = -a^{m\gamma\delta}_{\varepsilon kl}\pi^{\varepsilon}_{m}. \tag{4.26}$$

But as we saw earlier, the forms π^{γ}_{k} determine admissible transformations of second-order frames. This means that after the above reduction the group of admissible transformations of third-order frames coincides with the group of admissible transformations of second-order frames. This implies the following result:

Theorem 10 *The almost Grassmann manifold $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ is a G-structure of finite type two.*

This result is analogous to the result for the conformal $CO(p,q)$-structures (see [5], §4.1). The theorem similar to Theorem 4.1 was proved in [22] in terms of Lie algebras (see [28], Ch. 7, §3, for the definition of a G-structure of finite type).

Denote the values of the quantities $w^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}$ and $w^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}$ in the reduced fourth-order frames by $b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}$ and $b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}$, respectively. Then the quantities $b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}$ and $b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}$ satisfy the differential equations obtained from equations (4.17) and (4.18) by means of (4.25):

$$\nabla_{\delta} b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl} + 2b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\pi - \frac{pq}{2(p+q)}\Big(2\delta^{\beta}_{\varepsilon}a^{m\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl} - \delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha}a^{m\beta\delta}_{\varepsilon kl} + \delta^{\delta}_{\alpha}a^{m\beta\gamma}_{\varepsilon lk}\Big)\pi^{\varepsilon}_{m} = 0 \tag{4.27}$$

and

$$\nabla_{\delta} b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl} + 2b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}\pi + \frac{pq}{2(p+q)}\Big(2\delta^{m}_{j}a^{i\gamma\delta}_{\varepsilon kl} - \delta^{i}_{k}a^{m\gamma\delta}_{\varepsilon jl} + \delta^{i}_{l}a^{i\delta\gamma}_{\varepsilon jk}\Big)\pi^{\varepsilon}_{m} = 0. \tag{4.28}$$

They also satisfy the conditions (cf. (4.21))

$$b^{\gamma\alpha\delta}_{\alpha kl} - b^{i\gamma\delta}_{kil} + b^{\delta\alpha\gamma}_{\alpha lk} - b^{i\delta\gamma}_{lik} = 0 \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl} = -b^{\beta\delta\gamma}_{\alpha lk}, \;\; b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl} = -b^{i\delta\gamma}_{jlk}, \tag{4.30}$$

and the relations

$$b_{\alpha kl}^{\alpha\gamma\delta} = 0, \ \ b_{ikl}^{i\gamma\delta} = 0. \tag{4.31}$$

The relations (4.30) and (4.31) follow from condition (4.3) and (4.4).

Equations (4.26) show that the 1-forms $\omega_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}$ occurring in equations (4.8) are expressed in terms of the forms ω_k^γ and the basis forms ω_γ^k:

$$\omega_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = -a_{\gamma ij}^{k\alpha\beta}\omega_k^\gamma + \widehat{c}_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\omega_\gamma^k. \tag{4.32}$$

This means that the fiber forms $\omega_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ associated with the fourth-order frame bundle are expressed in terms of the fiber forms ω_k^γ, defined on the third-order frame bundle, and the basis forms ω_γ^k.

3. Substituting for the forms $\omega_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ in equations (4.8) their values (4.32), we find that

$$d\omega_i^\alpha - \omega_i^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^\alpha - \omega_i^j \wedge \omega_j^\alpha + \omega \wedge \omega_i^\alpha = c_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\omega_\gamma^k \wedge \omega_\beta^j - a_{\gamma ij}^{k\alpha\beta}\omega_k^\gamma \wedge \omega_\beta^j, \tag{4.33}$$

where $c_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \widehat{c}_{i[jk]}^{\alpha[\beta\gamma]}$, and the alternation is carried over the vertical pairs of indices.

If we substitute for the forms $\omega_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ in equations (4.11) their values (4.32), we find that the quantities $c_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ must satisfy the following condition:

$$c_{[ijk]}^{[\alpha\beta\gamma]} = 0. \tag{4.34}$$

Equations (4.33) together with equations (3.33) and (4.2) make up the complete system of the structure equations of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d\omega_\alpha^i - \omega_\alpha^j \wedge \omega_j^i - \omega_\alpha^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^i - \omega \wedge \omega_\alpha^i = a_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma}\omega_\beta^j \wedge \omega_\gamma^k, \\
d\omega_\alpha^\beta - \omega_\alpha^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma^\beta = \dfrac{q}{p+q}\Big(\delta_\alpha^\beta \omega_\gamma^k \wedge \omega_k^\gamma - p\omega_\alpha^k \wedge \omega_k^\beta\Big) + b_{\alpha kl}^{\beta\gamma\delta}\omega_\gamma^k \wedge \omega_\delta^l, \\
d\omega_j^i - \omega_j^k \wedge \omega_k^i = \dfrac{p}{p+q}\Big(\delta_j^i \omega_k^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma^k - q\omega_j^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma^i\Big) + b_{jkl}^{i\gamma\delta}\omega_\gamma^k \wedge \omega_\delta^l, \\
d\omega = \omega_i^\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha^i, \\
d\omega_i^\alpha - \omega_i^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^\alpha - \omega_i^j \wedge \omega_j^\alpha + \omega \wedge \omega_i^\alpha = c_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\omega_\gamma^k \wedge \omega_\beta^j - a_{\gamma ij}^{k\alpha\beta}\omega_k^\gamma \wedge \omega_\beta^j.
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.35}$$

We have proved above that a structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ is a G-structure of finite type two. The invariant forms of this structure are divided into three groups: $\{\omega_\alpha^i\}, \{\omega_j^i, \omega_\alpha^\beta, \omega\}$, and $\{\omega_i^\alpha\}$ which are defined in the frame bundles of first, second, and third order, respectively. The forms ω_α^i define a displacement of a point x along the manifold M on which the almost Grassmann structure is defined. By (4.35), for $\omega_\alpha^i = 0$ these forms satisfy the equations

$$d\pi_\alpha^\beta = \pi_\alpha^\gamma \wedge \pi_\gamma^\beta, \;\; d\omega_j^i = \omega_j^k \wedge \omega_k^i, \tag{4.36}$$

$$d\pi = 0, \tag{4.37}$$

$$d\pi_i^\alpha = \pi_i^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^\alpha + \pi_i^l \wedge \pi_l^\alpha, \tag{4.38}$$

and the forms π_β^α and π_i^j satisfy the conditions (3.13),

$$\pi_\delta^\delta = 0, \;\; \pi_l^l = 0.$$

In view of (4.37), the form π is an invariant form of the group $\mathbf{H}$ of homotheties of the tangent space $T_x(M)$, and the forms $\pi_j^i, \pi_\beta^\alpha$, and π are invariant forms of the group $G \cong \mathbf{SL}(p) \times \mathbf{SL}(q) \times \mathbf{H}$, whose transformations leave the cone $SC_x(p,q) \subset T_x(M)$ invariant. The group G is the structural group of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

Equations (4.36), (4.37), and (4.38) prove that the forms $\pi, \pi_j^i, \pi_\beta^\alpha$, and π_i^α are invariant forms of the group G' which is obtained as a differential prolongation of the group G. The group G' is isomorphic to the group $G \ltimes \mathbf{T}(pq)$ whose subgroup $\mathbf{T}(pq)$ is defined by the invariant forms π_i^α.

To describe the group G' geometrically, we compactify the tangent subspace $T_x(M)$ by enlarging it by the point at infinity and the Segre cone $SC_\infty(p,q)$ with its vertex at this point. Then the manifold $T_x(M) \cap SC_\infty(p,q)$ is equivalent to the algebraic variety $\Omega(p-1, p+q-1)$. Since the point x at which the variety $\Omega(p-1, p+q-1)$ is tangent to the manifold M is fixed, the geometry defined by the group G' on $\Omega(p-1, p+q-1)$ is equivalent to that of the flat Segre-affine space SA^ρ of dimension $\rho = pq$, on which the variety $\Omega(p-1, p+q-1)$ is projected by means of a stereographic projection from the point x (see [27], [26] or [5], §6.6). The group G' is the group of motions of this space, its subgroup G is the isotropy group of this space, and the subgroup $\mathbf{T}(pq)$ is the subgroup of parallel translations. We have already discussed this at the end of subsection **1.1**.

4. After the first reduction of second-order frames associated with the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$, we introduced the first structure tensor (the torsion tensor) $a = \{a_{\alpha jk}^{i\beta\gamma}\}$ of $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$. Let us set $b^1 = \{b_{ijk}^{l\beta\gamma}\}, b^2 = \{b_{\delta jk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}$, and $b = (b^1, b^2)$.

Equations (4.27) and (4.28) show that the quantities (a, b^1) and (a, b^2) form linear homogeneous objects. They represent two subobjects of the *second structure object* (a, b) of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

Taking the exterior derivatives of the last equation of (4.35), we arrive at the following exterior cubic equation:

$$\begin{aligned}[\nabla c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk} + 3c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk}\omega - b^{\alpha\gamma\beta}_{\sigma kj}\omega^\sigma_i + b^{s\gamma\beta}_{ikj}\omega^\alpha_s + (a^{s\alpha\beta}_{\sigma ij} a^{m\sigma\gamma}_{\varepsilon sk} + a^{m\alpha\sigma}_{\varepsilon is} a^{s\gamma\beta}_{\sigma kj})\omega^\varepsilon_m \\ +(2c^{\alpha\varepsilon\sigma}_{ims} a^{s\gamma\beta}_{\sigma kj}\omega^m_\varepsilon - c^{\sigma\beta\gamma}_{sjk} a^{s\alpha\varepsilon}_{\sigma im})\omega^m_\varepsilon] \wedge \omega^k_\gamma \wedge \omega^j_\beta = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

where $\nabla c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk} = dc^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk} - c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{sjk}\omega^s_i - c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{isk}\omega^s_j - c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijs}\omega^s_k + c^{\sigma\beta\gamma}_{ijk}\omega^\alpha_\sigma + c^{\alpha\sigma\gamma}_{ijk}\omega^\beta_\sigma + c^{\alpha\beta\sigma}_{ijk}\omega^\gamma_\sigma$. For $\omega^i_\alpha = 0$, it follows from equation (4.39) that

$$\nabla_\delta c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk} + 3c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk}\pi - b^{\alpha\gamma\beta}_{\sigma kj}\pi^\sigma_i + b^{s\gamma\beta}_{ikj}\pi^\alpha_s + (a^{s\alpha\beta}_{\sigma ij} a^{m\sigma\gamma}_{\varepsilon sk} + a^{m\alpha\sigma}_{\varepsilon is} a^{s\gamma\beta}_{\sigma kj})\pi^\varepsilon_m = 0. \tag{4.40}$$

Let us set $c = \{c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk}\}$. Equations (4.40), (4.27), (4.28), and (3.32) prove that $S = (a, b, c)$ form a linear homogeneous object, which is called the *third structure object* of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$. It is defined in a fourth-order differential neighborhood of $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$. As we proved earlier, its subobject a is a relative tensor (the torsion tensor) defined in a second-order differential neighborhood of $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$, and the subobjects $(a, b^1), (a, b^2)$, and (a, b) are defined in a third-order differential neighborhood of $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$.

The third structural object $S = (a, b, c)$ is the *complete geometric object* of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$, since if we prolong the structure equations (4.35) of $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$, all newly arising objects are expressed in terms of the components of the object S and their Pfaffian derivatives. This follows from Theorem 4.1.

5. Now we will find new closed form equations and differential equations that the components of b^1 and b^2 satisfy. First, note that since the object a is a relative tensor of weight -1, its components satisfy the equations

$$\nabla a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\omega = a^{i\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha jkl}\omega^l_\delta. \tag{4.41}$$

This equation is equivalent to the equation (3.32). The quantities $a^{i\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha jkl}$ occurring in equations (4.41) are Pfaffian derivatives of the components of the tensor a with respect to the basis forms ω^l_δ. With respect to the indices $i, \beta, \gamma, \alpha, j, k$, they satisfy the same relations (3.30)–(3.31) as the tensor a.

Substituting for $\nabla a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} + a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\omega$ and for the forms $\Omega^{\beta}_{\alpha}, \Omega^{i}_{j}$, and $d\omega$ in equations (3.14) their values from expansions (4.41) and (4.35) and equating to zero the coefficients of $\omega^{l}_{\delta} \wedge \omega^{j}_{\beta} \wedge \omega^{k}_{\gamma}$, we obtain the following equations:

$$\delta^{i}_{[j} b^{[\beta\gamma\delta]}_{|\alpha|kl]} - \delta^{[\beta}_{\alpha} b^{|i|\gamma\delta]}_{[jkl]} + a^{i[\beta\gamma\delta]}_{\alpha[jkl]} + 2a^{i[\beta|\varepsilon}_{\alpha[j|m} a^{m|\gamma\delta]}_{\epsilon|kl]} = 0. \tag{4.42}$$

As earlier, in this formula, the alternation is carried over the pairs of indices $\binom{\beta}{j}, \binom{\gamma}{k}$, and $\binom{\delta}{l}$. Equation (4.42) can be written in the form

$$\delta^{i}_{[j} b^{[\beta\gamma\delta]}_{|\alpha|kl]} - \delta^{[\beta}_{\alpha} b^{|i|\gamma\delta]}_{[jkl]} = A^{i\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha jkl}, \tag{4.43}$$

where the quantities $A^{i\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha jkl}$ are skew-symmetric with respect to the last three pairs of indices and are expressed in terms of the components of the tensor a and their Pfaffian derivatives.

We will now prove the following result:

Theorem 11 *For $p > 2$ and $q > 2$, the components of b^2 and b^1 are expressed in terms of the components of the tensor a and their Pfaffian derivatives.*

Proof. In fact the components of b^1 and b^2 satisfy equations (4.43) which are a nonhomogeneous system of linear equations with respect to the quantities $b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha km}$ and $b^{l\beta\gamma}_{ijk}$. Consider the homogeneous system corresponding to this nonhomogeneous system; that is, set $a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk} = 0$ in this nonhomogeneous system. This gives

$$\delta^{i}_{[j} b^{[\beta\delta\varepsilon]}_{|\alpha|lm]} - \delta^{[\beta}_{\alpha} b^{|i|\delta\varepsilon]}_{[jlm]} = 0,$$

or

$$\delta^{i}_{j} b^{\beta\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha lm} + \delta^{i}_{l} b^{\delta\varepsilon\beta}_{\alpha mj} + \delta^{i}_{m} b^{\varepsilon\beta\delta}_{\alpha jl} - \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} b^{i\delta\varepsilon}_{jlm} - \delta^{\delta}_{\alpha} b^{i\varepsilon\beta}_{lmj} - \delta^{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} b^{i\beta\delta}_{mjl} = 0.$$

Contracting the latter equations with respect to the indices i and l, i and j, and i and m and applying conditions (4.31), we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} q b^{\delta\varepsilon\beta}_{\alpha mj} + b^{\beta\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha jm} + b^{\varepsilon\beta\delta}_{\alpha jm} = 0, \\ b^{\delta\varepsilon\beta}_{\alpha mj} + q b^{\beta\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha jm} + b^{\varepsilon\beta\delta}_{\alpha mj} = 0, \\ b^{\delta\varepsilon\beta}_{\alpha jm} + b^{\beta\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha jm} + q b^{\varepsilon\beta\delta}_{\alpha mj} = 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{4.44}$$

If we symmetrize equations (4.44) with respect to the indices j and m, we obtain a homogeneous system of equations with respect to $b^{\delta\varepsilon\beta}_{\alpha(jm)}$, $b^{\beta\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha(jm)}$, and $b^{\varepsilon\beta\delta}_{\alpha(jm)}$. The determinant of the matrix of coefficients of this system is equal to

$$\begin{vmatrix} q & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & q & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & q \end{vmatrix} = (q-1)^2(q+2),$$

and it does not vanish if $q \geq 2$. Hence this system has only the trivial solution: $b^{\delta\varepsilon\beta}_{\alpha(mj)} = 0$.

In the same manner, if we alternate equations (4.44) with respect to the indices j and m, we obtain a homogeneous system of equations with respect to $b^{\delta\varepsilon\beta}_{\alpha[jm]}$, $b^{\beta\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha[jm]}$, and $b^{\varepsilon\beta\delta}_{\alpha[jm]}$. The determinant of the matrix of coefficients of this system is equal to

$$\begin{vmatrix} -q & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & q & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -q \end{vmatrix} = (q+1)^2(q-2),$$

and it does not vanish if $q > 2$. Hence this system has only the trivial solution: $b^{\delta\varepsilon\beta}_{\alpha[jm]} = 0$. As a result the homogeneous system in question has only the trivial solution: $b^{\delta\varepsilon\beta}_{\alpha jm} = 0$ provided that $q > 2$; thus the original nonhomogeneous system has a unique solution expressing the quantities $b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha km}$ in terms of the components $a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ of the tensor a and their Pfaffian derivatives.

In a similar manner we can prove that if $p > 2$, then the quantities $b^{i\alpha\beta}_{jkm}$ are expressed in terms of the components $a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}$ of the tensor a and their Pfaffian derivatives. Note that the condition $q > 2$ is required only for finding of $b^{\varepsilon\beta\delta}_{\alpha[jm]} = b^{\varepsilon(\beta\delta)}_{\alpha jm}$ and the condition $p > 2$ for finding of $b^{l[\alpha\beta]}_{jkm} = b^{l\alpha\beta}_{j(km)}$. ■

Now we can see that the tensor a satisfies certain differential equations. These equations can be obtained if we substitute for the components of b^1 and b^2 in equations (4.43) their values found in the way indicated above. The conditions obtained in this manner are analogues of the Bianchi equations in the theory of spaces with affine connection.

6. Next we will find new closed form equations and differential equations that the components of c satisfy. If we substitute for the 1-forms $\omega^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}$ in equations (4.9) and (4.10) their values taken from (4.32) and apply (4.34), we arrive at the following exterior cubic equations:

$$\Delta b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl} \wedge \omega^k_\gamma \wedge \omega^l_\delta - \Big(\frac{pq}{p+q}\delta^\varepsilon_\alpha \delta^s_m c^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{skl} + 2b^{\beta\gamma\sigma}_{\alpha ks} a^{s\delta\varepsilon}_{\sigma lm}\Big)\omega^m_\varepsilon \wedge \omega^k_\gamma \wedge \omega^l_\delta = 0, \tag{4.45}$$

$$\Delta b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl} \wedge \omega^k_\gamma \wedge \omega^l_\delta + \Big(\frac{pq}{p+q}\delta^i_m \delta^\varepsilon_\sigma c^{\sigma\gamma\delta}_{jkl} + 2b^{i\sigma\varepsilon}_{jsm} a^{s\gamma\delta}_{\sigma kl}\Big)\omega^m_\varepsilon \wedge \omega^k_\gamma \wedge \omega^l_\delta = 0, \tag{4.46}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl} &= \nabla b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl} + 2b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\omega + \frac{pq}{p+q}\Big(\delta^\gamma_\alpha \delta^s_k a^{m\beta\delta}_{\varepsilon sl} - \delta^\beta_\varepsilon \delta^m_s a^{s\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}\Big)\omega^\varepsilon_m, \\ \Delta b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl} &= \nabla b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl} + 2b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}\omega + \frac{pq}{p+q}\Big(\delta^\sigma_\varepsilon \delta^m_j a^{i\gamma\delta}_{\sigma kl} - \delta^\gamma_\sigma \delta^i_k a^{m\sigma\delta}_{\varepsilon jl}\Big)\omega^\varepsilon_m.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from equations (4.45) and (4.46) that

$$\Delta b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl} = b^{i\gamma\delta\varepsilon}_{jklm}\omega^m_\varepsilon, \;\; \Delta b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl} = b^{\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha klm}\omega^m_\varepsilon, \tag{4.47}$$

where $b^{i\gamma\delta\varepsilon}_{jklm}$ and $b^{\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha klm}$ are the Pfaffian derivatives of $b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}$ and $b^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{\alpha kl}$, respectively. Substituting (4.47) into equations (4.45) and (4.46), we find the following differential equations for the components of b:

$$\begin{aligned} & b^{\beta[\gamma\delta\varepsilon]}_{\alpha[klm]} - \frac{pq}{p+q}\delta^{[\varepsilon}_\alpha c^{|\beta|\gamma\delta]}_{[mkl]} - 2b^{\beta[\gamma|\sigma}_{\alpha[k|s} a^{s|\delta\varepsilon]}_{\sigma|lm]} = 0, \\ & b^{i[\gamma\delta\varepsilon]}_{j[klm]} + \frac{pq}{p+q}\delta^i_{[m} c^{[\varepsilon\gamma\delta]}_{|j|kl]} + 2b^{i\sigma[\varepsilon}_{js[m} a^{|s|\gamma\delta]}_{|\sigma|kl]} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

Equations (4.48) can be written in the form

$$\delta^{[\varepsilon}_\alpha c^{|\beta|\gamma\delta]}_{[mkl]} = B^{\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha klm} \tag{4.49}$$

and

$$\delta^i_{[m} c^{[\varepsilon\gamma\delta]}_{|j|kl]} = B^{i\gamma\delta\varepsilon}_{jklm}, \tag{4.50}$$

where the quantities $B^{\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon}_{\alpha klm}$ and $B^{i\gamma\delta\varepsilon}_{jklm}$ are skew-symmetric with respect to the last three pairs of indices and are expressed in terms of the components of the subobjects (a, b^1) and (a, b^2), respectively, and their Pfaffian derivatives.

We will now prove the following result:

Theorem 12 *If $p > 2$, then the components of c are expressed in terms of the components of the subobject (a, b^1) and their Pfaffian derivatives, and if $q > 2$, then the components of c are expressed in terms of the components of the subobject (a, b^2) and their Pfaffian derivatives.*

Proof. We will prove only the first part of this theorem. The proof of the second part is similar.

The components of c satisfy equations (4.49) that are a nonhomogeneous system of linear equations with respect to $c^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{jkl}$. Consider the homogeneous system corresponding to this nonhomogeneous system; that is, set $a = b^1 = 0$ in this nonhomogeneous system. This gives

$$\delta^{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} c^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{jkl} + \delta^{\delta}_{\alpha} c^{\beta\varepsilon\gamma}_{ljk} + \delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha} c^{\beta\delta\varepsilon}_{jlk} = 0.$$

Contracting this equation with respect to the indices α and ε, α, and δ, and α and γ, we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p c^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{jkl} + c^{\beta\delta\gamma}_{ljk} + c^{\beta\delta\gamma}_{klj} = 0, \\ c^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{jkl} + p c^{\beta\delta\gamma}_{ljk} + c^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{klj} = 0, \\ c^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{jkl} + c^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{ljk} + p c^{\beta\delta\gamma}_{klj} = 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{4.51}$$

If we symmetrize and alternate equations (4.51) with respect to the indices γ and δ, we obtain two homogeneous systems of equations with respect to $c^{\beta(\gamma\delta)}_{jkl}$ and $c^{\beta[\gamma\delta]}_{jkl}$ with different order of lower indices (cf. Subsection **4.5**). The determinants of the matrices of coefficients of these systems are equal to $(p-1)^2(p+2)$ and $(p+1)^2(p-2)$, respectively. They do not vanish if $p > 2$. Hence these systems have only the trivial solution. As a result the homogeneous system in question has only the trivial solution $c^{\beta\gamma\delta}_{jkl} = 0$ provided that $p > 2$; thus the original nonhomogeneous system has a unique solution expressing the components of c in terms of the components of the subobject (a, b^1) and their Pfaffian derivatives. ■

Now we can see that the object (a, b) satisfies certain differential equations. These equations can be obtained if we substitute for the components of c in equations (4.49) and (4.50) their values found in the way indicated above. The conditions obtained are other analogues of the Bianchi equations in the theory of spaces with affine connection.

7. An almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ is said to be *locally Grassmann* (or *locally flat*) if it is locally equivalent to a Grassmann structure. This means that a locally flat almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ admits a mapping onto an open domain of the algebraic variety $\Omega(m, n)$ of a projective space P^N, where $N = \binom{n+1}{m+1} - 1$, $m = p-1, n = p+q-1$, under which the Segre cones of the structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ correspond to the asymptotic cones of the variety $\Omega(m, n)$.

From the equivalence theorem of É. Cartan (see [11] or [15]), it follows that in order for an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ to be locally Grassmann, it is necessary and sufficient that its structure equations have the form (1.4), (1.10), (1.11), and (1.12). Comparing these equations with equations (4.35), we see that an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ is locally Grassmann if and only if its complete structure object $S=(a,b,c)$ vanishes.

However, we established in this section that if $p>2$ and $q>2$, the components of b are expressed in terms of the components of the tensor a and their Pfaffian derivatives, and the components of c are expressed in terms of the components of the subobject (a,b) and their Pfaffian derivatives. Moreover it follows from our considerations that the vanishing of the tensor a on a manifold M carrying an almost Grassmann structure implies the vanishing of the components of b and c.

Thus we have proved the following result:

Theorem 13 *For $p>2$ and $q>2$, an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ is locally Grassmann if and only if its first structure tensor a vanishes.*

Note that in the main parts of [12] and [13] the author considered torsion-free almost Grassmann structures. He did not have results of our Theorems **4.2, 4.3** and **4.4** and erroneously assumed that for $p>2, q>2$ there exist torsion-free almost Grassmann structures that are not locally Grassmann (locally flat) structures. According to Theorem **4.4**, if $p>2$ and $q>2$, then torsion-free almost Grassmann structures are locally Grassmann structures. This is the reason that the results of the main parts of [12] and [13] are valid only for $p=2, q>2; p>2, q=2$, and $p=q=2$.

8. We will now write the structure equations (4.35) in index-free notation. To this end, we denote the matrix 1-form (ω_α^i), defined in a first-order frame bundle, by θ and write equation (3.33) in the form

$$d\theta = \omega \wedge \theta - \theta \wedge \omega_\alpha - \omega_\beta \wedge \omega + \Theta, \tag{4.52}$$

where $\omega_\alpha = (\omega_\beta^\alpha)$ and $\omega_\beta = (\omega_j^i)$ are the matrix 1-forms defined in a second-order frame bundle for which

$$\operatorname{tr}\omega_\alpha = 0, \ \operatorname{tr}\omega_\beta = 0;$$

the form ω the scalar form occurring in equation (3.33) and also defined in a second-order frame bundle.

The 2-form $\Theta = (\Theta^i_\alpha)$ is the *torsion form* with the components

$$\Theta^i_\alpha = a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\omega^k_\gamma \wedge \omega^l_\delta. \tag{4.53}$$

The remaining structure equations (4.35) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &d\omega_\alpha + \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha = \frac{q}{p+q}\Big[-I_\alpha \operatorname{tr}(\varphi \wedge \theta) + p\varphi \wedge \theta\Big] + \Omega_\alpha, \\ &d\omega_\beta + \omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\beta = \frac{p}{p+q}\Big[-I_\beta \operatorname{tr}(\varphi \wedge \theta) + q\theta \wedge \varphi\Big] + \Omega_\beta, \\ &d\omega = \operatorname{tr}(\varphi \wedge \theta), \\ &d\varphi + \omega_\alpha \wedge \varphi + \varphi \wedge \omega_\beta + \omega \wedge \varphi = -(a\varphi) \wedge \theta + \Phi, \end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

where $\varphi = (\omega^\alpha_i)$ is a matrix 1-form defined in a third-order frame bundle; $I_\alpha = (\delta^\beta_\alpha)$ and $I_\beta = (\delta^j_i)$ are the unit tensors of orders p and q, respectively; and 2-forms $\Omega_\alpha = (\Omega^\alpha_\beta)$, $\Omega_\beta = (\Omega^i_j)$, and $\Phi = (\Phi^\alpha_i)$ are the *curvature* 2*-forms* of the $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$-structure whose components are

$$\Omega^\alpha_\beta = b^{\alpha\gamma\delta}_{\beta kl}\omega^k_\gamma \wedge \omega^l_\delta, \;\; \Omega^i_j = b^{i\gamma\delta}_{jkl}\omega^k_\gamma \wedge \omega^l_\delta, \;\; \Phi^\alpha_i = c^{\alpha\gamma\delta}_{ikl}\omega^l_\delta \wedge \omega^k_\gamma. \tag{4.55}$$

5 Semiintegrability of Almost Grassmann Structures

1. In this section we will formulate geometric conditions for an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ defined on a manifold M to be semiintegrable. The conditions will be expressed in terms of the complete structure object S of the almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ and its subobjects S_α and S_β which will be defined in this section. For the proof of all theorems of this section see [6] or [5], §7.4.

First we will state the theorem on the decomposition of the torsion tensor of an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$:

Theorem 14 *The torsion tensor* $a = \{a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha jk}\}$ *of the almost Grassmann structure* $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ *decomposes into two subtensors:*

$$a = a_\alpha \dot{+} a_\beta, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$a_\alpha = \{a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha(jk)}\}, \;\; a_\beta = \{a^{i(\beta\gamma)}_{\alpha jk}\}.$$

Note that the subtensors a_α and a_β can be also represented in the form

$$a_\alpha = \{a^{i[\beta\gamma]}_{\alpha jk}\}, \;\; a_\beta = \{a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha[jk]}\}.$$

Note also that like the tensor a, its subtensors a_α and a_β are skew-symmetric with respect to the pairs of indices $\binom{\beta}{j}$ and $\binom{\gamma}{k}$:

$$a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha(jk)} = -a^{i\gamma\beta}_{\alpha(kj)}, \;\; a^{i\beta\gamma}_{\alpha[jk]} = -a^{i\gamma\beta}_{\alpha[kj]},$$

and they are also trace-free, since it follows from (3.30) that

$$a^{i\alpha\gamma}_{\alpha(jk)} = 0, \;\; a^{i[\beta\gamma]}_{\alpha ik} = 0, \;\; a^{i(\beta\gamma)}_{\alpha ik} = 0, \;\; a^{i\alpha\gamma}_{\alpha[jk]} = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Next we will formulate the theorem on vanishing the subtensors a_α and a_β for $p = 2$ and $q = 2$, respectively:

Theorem 15 *If $p = 2$, then $a_\alpha = 0$, and if $q = 2$, then $a_\beta = 0$.*

The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ to be α-semiintegrable or β-semiintegrable.

Theorem 16 **(i)** *If $p > 2$ and $q \geq 2$, then for an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ to be α-semiintegrable, it is necessary and sufficient that the condition $a_\alpha = b^1_\alpha = b^2_\alpha = 0$ holds.*

(ii) *If $p \geq 2$ and $q > 2$, then for an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ to be β-semiintegrable, it is necessary and sufficient that the condition $a_\beta = b^1_\beta = b^2_\beta = 0$ holds.*

We introduce the following notations:

$$b^1_\alpha = \{b^{i\gamma\delta}_{(jkl)}\}, \;\; b^2_\alpha = \{b^{[\beta\gamma\delta]}_{\alpha kl}\}, \;\; c_\alpha = \{c^{[\alpha\beta\gamma]}_{(ijk)}\},$$

$$b^1_\beta = \{b^{i\gamma\delta}_{[jkl]}\}, \;\; b^2_\beta = \{b^{(\beta\gamma\delta)}_{\alpha kl}\}, \;\; c_\beta = \{c^{(\alpha\beta\gamma)}_{[ijk]}\}.$$

For the cases when $p = 2$ or $q = 2$ or $p = q = 2$, the following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for an almost Grassmann structure $AG(p-1, p+q-1)$ to be α-semiintegrable or β-semiintegrable:

Theorem 17 **(i)** *If* $p = 2$, *then the structure subobject* S_α *consists only of the tensor* b^1_α, *and the vanishing of this tensor is necessary and sufficient for the almost Grassmann structure* $AG(1, q+1)$ *to be* α-*semiintegrable.*

(ii) *If* $q = 2$, *then the structure subobject* S_β *consists only of the tensor* b^2_β, *and the vanishing of this tensor is necessary and sufficient for the almost Grassmann structure* $AG(p-1, p+1)$ (*which is equivalent to the structure* $AG(1, p+1)$) *to be* β-*semiintegrable.*

(iii) *If* $p = q = 2$, *then the complete structural object* S *consists only of the tensors* b^1_α *and* b^2_β, *and the vanishing of one of these tensors is necessary and sufficient for the almost Grassmann structure* $AG(1, 3)$ *to be* α- *or* β-*semiintegrable, respectively.*

We conclude by the following remarks:

1. The tensors b^1_α and b^2_β are defined in a third-order differential neighborhood of the almost Grassmann structure.

2. For $p = q = 2$, as was indicated earlier (see Example **2.3**), the almost Grassmann structure $AG(1, 3)$ is equivalent to the conformal $CO(2, 2)$-structure. Thus it follows (see [5], §5.1) that we have the following decomposition of its complete structural object: $S = b^1_\alpha \dot{+} b^2_\beta$. This matches the splitting of the tensor of conformal curvature of the $CO(2, 2)$-structure: $C = C_\alpha \dot{+} C_\beta$.

References

[1] Akivis, M. A., Three-webs of multidimensional surfaces, *Trudy Geom. Sem. Inst. Nauchn. Inform., Akad. Nauk SSSR* **2** (1969), 7–31 (Russian).

[2] Akivis, M. A., Webs and almost-Grassmann structures, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **252** (1980), no. 2, 267–270 (Russian); English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **21** (1980), no. 3, 707–709.

[3] Akivis, M. A., Webs and almost-Grassmann structures, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **23** (1982), no. 6, 6–15 (Russian); English transl. in *Siberian Math. J.* **23** (1982), no. 6, 763–770.

[4] Akivis, M.A., On the differential geometry of a Grassmann manifold, *Tensor (N.S.)* **38** (1982), 273–282 (Russian).

[5] Akivis, M. A. and V. V. Goldberg, *Conformal differential geometry and its generalizations*. Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1996.

[6] Akivis, M. A. and V. V. Goldberg, Conformal and Grassmann structures, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **8** (1998), no. 2, 177–203.

[7] Akivis, M. A. and A. M. Shelekhov, *Geometry and algebra of multidimensional three-webs*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.

[8] Atiyah, M. F., N. L. Hitchin, and I. Singer, Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London*, Ser. A **362** (1978), no. 1711, 425–461.

[9] Bailey, T. N., and M. G. Eastwood, Complex paraconformal manifolds: their differential geometry and twistor theory, *Forum Math.* **3** (1991), no. 1, 61–103.

[10] Baston, R. J., Almost Hermitian symmetric manifolds. I. Local twistor theory, *Duke Math. J.* **63** (1991), no. 1, 81–112.

[11] Cartan, É., Les sous-groupes des groupes continus de transformations, *Ann. Sci. École Norm.* (3) **25** (1908), 57–194.

[12] Dhooghe, P. F. Grassmannianlike manifolds, *Geometry and topology of submanifolds, V (Leuven/Brussels, 1992)*, 147–160, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1993.

[13] Dhooghe, P. F., Grassmannian structures on manifolds, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **1** (1994), no. 1, 597–622.

[14] Dobromyslov, V. A., On the geometry of the k-quasiaffine space, *Webs and Quasigroups*, Kalinin. Gos. Univ., Kalinin, 1988, 147–155 (Russian).

[15] Gardner, R., *The method of equivalence and its applications*. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1989.

[16] Goldberg, V. V., $(n+1)$-webs of multidimensional surfaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **210** (1973), no. 4, 756–759 (Russian); English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **14** (1973), no. 3, 795–799.

[17] Goldberg, V.V., The almost Grassmann manifold that is connected with an $(n+1)$-web of multidimensional surfaces, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **1975**, no. 8 (159), 29–38 (Russian); English transl. in *Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ)* **19** (1975), no. 8, 23–31.

[18] Goldberg, V. V., *Theory of Multicodimensional* $(n+1)$*-Webs*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1988.

[19] Goncharov, A. B., Generalized conformal structures on manifolds, *Selecta Math. Soviet.* **6** (1987), 306–340.

[20] Hangan, Th., Géométrie différentielle grassmannienne, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **11** (1966), no. 5, 519–531.

[21] Hangan, Th., Tensor-product tangent bundles, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **19** (1968), no. 4, 436–440.

[22] Hangan, Th., Sur l'intégrabilité des structures tangentes produits tensoriels réels, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **126** (1980), 149–185.

[23] Ishihara, T., On tensorproduct structures and Grassmannian structures, *J. Math. Tokushima Univ.* **1972**, no. 4, 1–17.

[24] Leichtweiss, K., Zur Riemannschen Geometrie in Grassmannschen Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Math. Z.* **76** (1961), 334–336.

[25] Mikhailov, Yu. I., On the structure of almost Grassmannian manifolds *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.*, **1978**, no. 2, 62–72 (Russian); English transl. in *Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ)* **22** (1978), no. 2, 54–63.

[26] Semple, J. G., On representation of the S_k's of S_n and of the Grassmann manifolds $G(k,n)$, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **32** (1931), 200–221.

[27] Semple, J. G., and L. Roth, *Introduction to algebraic geometry*. Clarendon Press & Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1985.

[28] Sternberg, S., *Lectures on differential geometry*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1964; 2nd edition, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, N.Y., 1983.

[29] Wong, Y. C., Differential geometry of Grassmann manifolds, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **57** (1967), 589–594.